arXiv:1402.0144v2 [math.DG] 10 Feb 2014

Strongly Homotopy Lie Algebras from Multisymplectic Geometry



C. S. Shahbazi

U.N.E.D.

Institut de Physique Théorique, CEA-Saclay. Email: carlos.shabazi [at] cea.fr

Universidad Nacional de Eduación a distancia



Facultad de Ciencias

Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas

Geometría multisimpléctica y L_∞-álgebras

Tesis de Máster dirigida por:

Profesor D. Marco Zambon
Profesor contratado Doctor, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, y miembro del ICMAT

Acknowledgments

En ésta tésis de máster se recoge parte del trabajo que he realizado como estudiante de máster bajo la dirección del profesor Marco Zambón, a quien estoy profundamente agradecido por todo el tiempo, paciencia y dedicación que ha invertido en mi. Ha tenido en cuenta desde el primer momento mis circunstancias personales especiales como estudiante de máster y ha hecho todo lo posible por facilitar mi trabajo y aprendizaje. No solo eso, sino que ha me ha ayudado y aconsejado extensamente en multitud de cuestiones matemáticas relacionadas con mi *otro* trabajo. Por todo ello, y por introducirme en un área de la matemática tan bonita como es la geometría diferencial, ¡gracias Marco!.

Tengo que agradecer también a Pablo Bueno, Patrick Meessen y Tomás Ortín el interés mostrado por el trabajo que en éstas páginas se recoge: siendo Físicos Teóricos con una impecable formación en geometría diferencial siempre están dispuestos a unirse cualquier debate sobre el tema. Sin duda también he aprendido mucho de ellos.

Finalmente quiero agradecer a mi familia y amigos, en especial a mi madre que me ha apoyado en todo momento durante mis estudios, la alegría y compañía que me brindan cada día.

Contents

1 Introduction **9**

2 Mathematical preliminaries **13**

2.1 Graded and homological algebra theory 13

2.2 Differential geometry basics 24

2.3 Fibre bundles 28

2.4 Lie groups 30

2.5 Symplectic Geometry 32

3 L_∞-algebras **37**

3.1 Basic definitions 37

3.2 L_∞-morphisms 40

4 Multisymplectic Geometry **43**

4.1 n-plectic manifolds 43

4.2 Multisymplectic diffeomorphisms and n-algebra morphisms 47

4.3 Product manifolds and Lie n-algebra morphisms 51

References **61**

Chapter 1

Introduction

Multisymplectic geometry [1–4] considers generalizations of symplectic manifolds[1] which are called n-plectic manifolds. A differentiable manifold is n-plectic if it is equipped with a closed non-degenerate, in a particular sense to be explained later, $(n+1)$-form.

In symplectic geometry, one can equip the space of functions on a symplectic manifold with the structure of a Poisson algebra by means of the symplectic form [5, 6]. It turns out that in multisymplectic geometry, the n-plectic structure present on an n-plectic manifold gives the structure of Lie-n algebra to a particular complex L constructed out of differential forms on the manifold [7–11]. Lie n-algebras are particular instances of strongly homotopy Lie algebras [12], or L_∞-algebras, in which the underlying complex is finite.

In symplectic geometry it is possible to define a particular kind of Lie group action on the symplectic manifold, such that they preserve the symplectic form and is generated by Hamiltonian vector fields. More precisely, the action of a Lie group G, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ is said to be Hamiltonian if it admits a moment map, that is, a map [5, 6]

$$\mu\colon \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{g}^* \,, \tag{1.1}$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied

1. For each $v \in \mathfrak{g}$, let

   - $\mu^v : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $\mu^v(p) \equiv < \mu(p), v >$, where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ is the natural pairing of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$.
   - $\tilde{v}$ be the vector field generated by the one-parameter subgroup $\left\{e^{tv} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subseteq G$.

   Then

   $$d\mu^v = -\iota_{\tilde{v}}\omega \,, \tag{1.2}$$

   that is, μ^v is a Hamiltonian function for the vector field $\tilde{v}$.

2. μ is equivariant with respect to the given action and the coadjoint action Ad^* of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

In particular, if an action is Hamiltonian then its infinitesimally generated by Hamiltonian vector fields. The notion of Hamiltonian action on a symplectic manifold can be equivalently defined in terms of a comoment map, that is, a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\mu^*\colon \mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \,, \tag{1.3}$$

such that $d\mu^*(v) = -\iota_v\omega \,, \quad v \in \mathcal{M}$. That is, $\mu^*(v)$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of v. Remarkably enough, the notion of Hamiltonian action can be also defined for the action of a Lie group on an n-plectic manifold by defining the so-called *homotopy moment map* [13], a generalization of the comoment map (1.3) for n-plectic manifolds. Loosely speaking, it consists on a L_∞-morphism

[1] A symplectic manifold is adifferentiable manifold equipped with a closed non-degenerate two-form

$$f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to L\,, \tag{1.4}$$

that lifts, in a suitable sense, the map from $\mathfrak{g}$ to the set of Hamiltonian vector fields which is assumed to exist from the outset.

This master thesis is devoted to the study of L_∞-morphisms between Lie-n algebras constructed on n-plectic manifolds, as well as the study of homotopy moment maps on n-plectic manifolds equipped with the Hamiltonian action of a Lie group.

The study of differentiable manifolds equipped with closed non-degenerate forms can be justified from different points of views in mathematics as well as in physics. Standard motivations correspond to the important role that symplectic and multisymplectic manifolds play in classical mechanics, classical field theory and also in the corresponding quantization procedures. However, n-plectic manifolds[2] may be physically relevant on another level: the space-time manifold that describes the universe, at least up to some energy scale, could have the structure of an n-plectic manifold.

Such possibility naturally arises in Superstring Theory [14–24], a very promising candidate theory for the quantum description of all the known interactions of nature. Superstring theory implies the existence of several differential forms defined on the space-time manifold, some of them closed, corresponding to field strengths of the Ramond-Ramond and the Neveu-Schwarz Neveu-Schwarz forms of the corresponding Supergravity. Therefore the space-time manifold in Superstring theory is going to be at least a pre-n-plectic manifold. The non-degeneracy properties of the forms will depend on the particular solution to be considered.

The space-time that we observe is four-dimensional, yet Superstring theory predicts that the space-time must be ten-dimensional. In order to fix this apparent contradiction, several mechanisms have been proposed in the literature [25–30]. One of them, the Kaluza-Klein reduction [27], consists in assuming that the space-time manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is locally the product of a four-dimensional non-compact manifold $\mathcal{M}_4$ and a six-dimensional compact manifold $\mathcal{M}_6$

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_4 \times \mathcal{M}_6\,, \tag{1.5}$$

small enough to not be accessible in current high-energy experiments. Superstring theory constrains the different manifolds $\mathcal{M}_6$ that we can consider as compact manifolds [30]. In particular, for supersymmetric compactifications, the existence of one or several globally defined spinors on the compact manifold implies the existence of globally defined forms, which, depending on the details of the compactification, may be closed and non-degenerate. As an example, we can consider M-theory [31], closely related to Superstring Theory, which is a theory that predicts the space-time manifold to be eleven-dimensional. The fluxless compactification of such theory on a seven dimensional compact manifold $\mathcal{M}_7$ implies that $\mathcal{M}_7$ must be a manifold of G_2-holonomy [27, 32–34]. Therefore, it has a globally defined, closed and non-degenerate three-form [35] and thus it is a two-plectic manifold.

It is worth pointing out that the interpretation of the Lie-n algebras associated to the space-time manifold or the compactification manifold is not known, and it would be interesting to find out if it encodes any physical information about the theory itself. Notice that L_∞-algebras have appeared already in Superstring Theory and Supergravity. For example, the algebra of states in the Fock space of closed String Field Theory is a strongly homotopy Lie algebra [36]. For more applications of L_∞-algebras to Superstring Theory and Supergravity the interested reader may consult [37–45]. It is clear then that multisymplectic geometry and L_∞-algebras play an important role in theoretical physics and in particular in Superstring Theory and Supergravity, and thus more effort is needed in order to uncover the role that these mathematical structures play in the theories that describe the fundamental interactions of nature.

The outline of this work is as follows. **In chapter 2** we introduce the relevant background material necessary for the rest of the paper, which includes graded algebra theory and homological algebra theory, fibre bundles and basics of Lie groups and symplectic geometry. It is intended for non-experts, perhaps interested physicists, and therefore can be skipped by experts. **In chapter 3** we introduce L_∞-algebras and define L_∞-morphisms in an independent way, not related yet to multisymplectic geometry, giving explicit formulae relating $L_\infty[1]$-algebras and L_∞-algebras. **Chapter 4** contains the new results present in this thesis. We first introduce n-plectic manifolds and connect them to L_∞-algebras. Then we introduce, closely following [13], the concept of homotopy moment map. **Sections 4.2 and 4.3** contains the new material present in this work. In section 4.2 we obtain specific conditions under which two n-plectic manifolds with strictly isomorphic Lie-n algebras are symplectomorphic. Finally, in section 4.3, we study the construction of an homotopy moment map for a product

[2] Maybe dropping the non-degeneracy condition on the $(n+1)$-form. The corresponding manifold is then called pre-n-plectic. Note however that most of the results about n-plectic manifolds can be extended in a suitable way to pre-n-plectic manifolds.

manifold assuming that the factors are n-plectic manifolds equipped with the corresponding homotopy moment maps.

Chapter 2

Mathematical preliminaries

The goal of this chapter is to introduce the relevant basic background that will be needed through the rest of the paper. The content is elementary and therefore can be skipped by expert readers, although it may be useful for interested non-mathematicians. Basic references for this chapter are [5, 6, 12, 13, 46–50]. We will consider exclusively real vector spaces, real manifolds and real bundles over them, unless otherwise stated.

2.1 Graded and homological algebra theory

The purpose of this section is to introduce the basic elements of graded algebra theory and Homological algebra that we will need through the rest of the letter. Graded algebra theory refers to the study of algebraic structures in a graded vector space. Higher Homological algebra theory studies homology (or co-homology) in an abstract setting, that is, on abstractly defined complexes (or co-complexes). I have tried to recollect here the basic definitions and constructions that are well known to experts but that might be difficult to find in a clear way on a first approximation to the topic.

2.1.1 Higher algebras

We begin with a series of definitions increasing step by step the structures involved. We begin by the simple definition of an algebra.

Definition 2.1.1. A real algebra $(V, \cdot)$ is a real vector space V space equipped with a bilinear product $V \times V \to V$, denoted by $\cdot$ or concatenation of elements.

We require the product $\cdot$ of an algebra to be inner. It may have, however, other properties. For example, if $x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_2 \cdot x_1$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in V$ the algebra $(V, \cdot)$ is said to be commutative. If $x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot x_3) = (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_3$ for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathrm{X}$ then the algebra is said to be associative. If the algebra V contains an identity, that is, an element $e \in V$ such that $e \cdot x = x \cdot e = x$ for all $x \in V$ then it is said to be unital. A real algebra such that the product is associative and has an identity is therefore a ring that is also a vector space, and it is called a *unital associative algebra*.

Definition 2.1.2. Let G be an abelian group. A *G-graded vector space* V over $\mathbb{R}$ is a collection $(V_g)_{g \in G}$ of vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$.

The homogeneous elements of degree $g \in G$ of a G-graded vector space V are the elements of V_g. In this work we will consider exclusively $G = \mathbb{Z}$-graded vector spaces $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$. The grade of an homogeneous element $x \in V$ is denoted by $|x|$, and of course, since we will consider only $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector spaces, we will always have $|x| \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.1.3. A real graded algebra is a real graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$ equipped with a bilinear product $V \times V \to V$ which will be denoted by $\cdot$ or concatenation of elements, such that

$$V_i \cdot V_j \subset V_{i+j}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.1.4. A real graded Lie algebra is a real graded algebra $(V, \cdot)$ equipped with a bilinear product $[\cdot, \cdot] : V \times V \to V$ such that the following axioms are satisfied

1. $[\cdot, \cdot]$ respects the grading of V, that is, $[V_i, V_j] \subseteq V_{i+j}$.

2. If $x_1, x_2 \in V$ are homogeneous elements then

$$[x_1, x_2] = -(-1)^{|x_1||x_2|}\,[x_2, x_1]\,. \tag{2.2}$$

That is, $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is antisymmetric in the graded sense.

3. If $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in V$ then

$$(-1)^{|x_1||x_3|}[x_1,[x_2,x_3]] + (-1)^{|x_1||x_2|}[x_2,[x_3,x_1]] + (-1)^{|x_2||x_2|}[x_3,[x_1,x_2]] = 0\,. \tag{2.3}$$

That is, $[\cdot,\cdot]$ satisfies the graded Jacobi identity.

Equation (2.2) is just the graded version of the antisymmetric Lie bracket of a not-graded Lie algebra. Analogously, Eq. (2.3) is just the graded version of the Jacobi identity for not-graded Lie algebras. When V is concentrated in degree zero, a real graded Lie algebra is just an ordinary real Lie algebra. Let us consider now a simple example taken from physics.

Example 2.1.5. **Supersymmetry algebra**. The Super-Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{sp}$ is, in physical terms, an extension of the Poincaré algebra by fermionic generators that obey anti-commutation relations. We denote by M_{ab} the generators of the Lorentz group, by P_a the generators of translations and by Q_α the fermionic generators, which are $\mathrm{Spin}\,(1,3)$ spinors of definite chirality. They obey the following (anti)-commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[M_{ab}, M_{cd}] &= -M_{eb}\Gamma_{\mathrm{v}}\,(M_{cd})^{e}_{a} - M_{ae}\Gamma_{\mathrm{v}}\,(M_{cd})^{e}_{b} && (2.4)\\
[P_a, M_{cd}] &= -P_{e}\Gamma_{\mathrm{v}}\,(M_{cd})^{e}_{a} && (2.5)\\
[Q^{\alpha}, M_{ab}] &= \Gamma_{\mathrm{s}}\,(M_{ab})^{\alpha}_{\beta}\,Q^{\beta} && (2.6)\\
\left\{Q^{\alpha}, Q^{\beta}\right\} &= i\left(\gamma^{a}C^{-1}\right)^{\alpha\beta}P_a\,, && (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where Γ_{v} denotes the vectorial representation, Γ_{s} denotes the spinorial representation and C is the charge conjugation matrix. $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is defined as follows $\left\{Q^{\alpha}, Q^{\beta}\right\} = Q^{\alpha}Q^{\beta} + Q^{\beta}Q^{\alpha}$. The Super-Poincaré algebra gets beautifully described as a particular instance of $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$[1] graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp} = \mathfrak{sp}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_1$ with bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. The elements of $\mathfrak{sp}_0$ are called even and correspond to the *bosonic* generators M_{ab} and P_a of the algebra. The elements of $\mathfrak{sp}_1$ are called odd and correspond to the *fermionic* generators of the algebra Q_α. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_1, x_2]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} &= -[x_2, x_1]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\,, \qquad \forall\, x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{sp}_0\,, && (2.8)\\
[x_1, x_2]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} &= -[x_2, x_1]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\,, \qquad \forall\, x_1 \in \mathfrak{sp}_0\,,\ \forall\, x_2 \in \mathfrak{sp}_1\,, && (2.9)\\
[x_1, x_2]_{\mathbb{Z}_2} &= [x_2, x_1]_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\,, \qquad \forall\, x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{sp}_1\,. && (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, equation (2.8) corresponds to the commutators (2.4) and (2.5) in the Super-Poincaré algebra, equation (2.9) corresponds to the commutator (2.6) in the Super-Poincaré algebra, and equation (2.10) corresponds to the anti-commutator (2.7) in the Super Poincaré algebra.

Definition 2.1.6. Let $(V,\cdot)$ be a graded algebra. A homogeneous linear map $d : V \to V$ of grade $|d|$ on V is called a homogeneous derivation if $d(x_1 \cdot x_2) = d(x_1)x_2 + \epsilon^{|x_1||d|}x_1 \cdot d(x_2)$, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $x_1, x_2 \in V$ are homogeneous elements. A graded derivation is sum of homogeneous derivations with the same ϵ. In the context of graded algebra, the choice $\epsilon = -1$ is the most natural one, since it takes into account the graded structure of the algebra.

Definition 2.1.7. A real differential graded Lie algebra is a real graded Lie algebra $(V,\cdot)$ equipped with a degree ± 1 (depending on chain or cochain complex convention) derivation $d : V \to V$ that satisfies

1. $d \circ d = 0$. Therefore d gives V the structure of a chain ($|d| = -1$) or cochain complex ($|d| = 1$).
2. $d[x_1, x_2] = [dx_1, x_2] + (-1)^{|x_1|}[x_1, dx_2]$, where x_1 and x_2 are homogeneous elements of V.

[1] The definition of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded space can be obtained from the definition of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space by simply substituting $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Given two homogeneous elements $x_1, x_2 \in V$ of an arbitrary graded algebra $(V, \cdot)$, in principle $x_1 \cdot x_2$ and $x_2 \cdot x_1$ are different elements of $(V, \cdot)$ not related in any particular way. However, if for every pair of homogeneous elements $x_1, x_2 \in V$ the following holds

$$x_1 \cdot x_2 = (-1)^{|x_1||x_2|} x_2 \cdot x_1 \,, \tag{2.11}$$

then $(V, \cdot)$ is said to be a graded commutative algebra. Analogously, if

$$x_1 \cdot x_2 = -(-1)^{|x_1||x_2|} x_2 \cdot x_1 \,, \tag{2.12}$$

holds, then the algebra $(V, \cdot)$ is said to be a graded anti-commutative algebra. This procedure can be generalized by defining the *Koszul sign*. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be elements of a symmetric graded algebra $(V, \cdot)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ a permutation. The Koszul sign $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is defined by the equality

$$x_1 \cdots x_n = \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_n) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} \,,$$

which holds in the free graded commutative algebra generated by V, with product denoted by concatenation of elements. The Koszul sign can be equivalently defined using an antisymmetric graded algebra $(V, \cdot)$ as follows

$$x_1 \cdots x_n = (-1)^{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_n) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)} \,,$$

Given $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, $(-1)^{\sigma}$ denotes the usual sign of a permutation. Please notice that $\epsilon(\sigma)$ does not include the sign $(-1)^{\sigma}$. For example, given $x_1, x_2, x_2 \in V$, where $(V, \cdot)$ is free graded commutative algebra, we have

$$x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 = \epsilon(3,2,1; x_1, x_2.x_3) x_3 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \,, \qquad \epsilon(3,2,1; x_1, x_2.x_3) = (-1)^{|x_3||x_2| + |x_3||x_1|} \,. \tag{2.13}$$

We say that $\sigma \in \Sigma_{p+q}$ is a $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$-*unshuffle* if and only if σ is a permutation of a set of $(p+q)$ elements such that $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q)$. The set of (p,q)-unshuffles is denoted by $\mathrm{Sh}(p,q)$. For example, $\mathrm{Sh}(2,1)$ is the set of cycles $\{(1), (23), (123)\}$.

If V is a graded vector space, then $\mathrm{s}V$ denotes the suspension of V, and $\mathrm{s}^{-1}V$ denotes the desuspension of V, defined respectively by

$$(\mathrm{s}V)_i = V_{i-1} \,, \qquad \left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}V\right)_i = V_{i+1} \,. \tag{2.14}$$

Another very used notation for the (de)suspension of a graded vector space V is

$$\mathrm{s}^{\pm k} V = V[\mp k] \,. \tag{2.15}$$

Sometimes we will write $s^{\pm 1} x$ where x is an homogeneous element of a given graded vector space V. The meaning of such expression can be understood as follows. Let us assume that $x \in V_i \,,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$s^{\pm 1} x \in s^{\pm 1}\left(V_i\right) = \left(s^{\pm 1} V\right)_{i \mp 1} \,, \tag{2.16}$$

since $\left(s^{\pm 1} V\right)_{i \mp 1} = V_i$.

Definition 2.1.8. A morphism f from a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space V to a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space W is a collection of linear maps $(f_i : V_i \to W_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.
Hence, it is implicitly assumed in the definition that a morphism preserves the grading, that is, that $|f(x)| = |x| \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is said then that f is homogeneous of degree zero. An isomorphism of graded vector spaces is a morphism f whose components f_i are isomorphisms of vector spaces. It is possible, of course, to consider maps that do not preserve the grading. The degree of a map f is denoted by $|f|$. If a map $f : V \to W$ of graded vector spaces has degree $|f| = k \,,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$f\left(V_i\right) \subseteq V_{i+k} \,, \ \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z} \,. \tag{2.17}$$

The set of all morphisms from a graded vector space V to a graded vector space W is denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}\left(V, W\right)$. Notice that $\mathrm{Hom}\left(V, W\right)$ is itself a graded vector space with homogeneous component $\mathrm{Hom}\left(V, W\right)_i \ \ i \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by the set of components $f_i \colon V_i \to W_i \,,\ f \in \mathrm{Hom}\left(V, W\right)$.

Definition 2.1.9. Let $F(V \times W)$ the free vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ whose generators are the points of $V \times W$, where $\times$ stands for the Cartesian product [2] The tensor product is defined as a certain quotient vector space of $F(V \times W)$. Consider the subspace R of $F(V \times W)$ generated by the following elements[3]

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1, w) + (v_2, w) - (v_1 + v_2, w)\,, \\ (v, w_1) + (v, w_2) - (v, w_1 + w_2)\,, \\ c \cdot (v, w) - (cv, w)\,, \\ c \cdot (v, w) - (v, cw)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where $v, v_1, v_2 \in V$, $w, w_1, w_2 \in W$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. The tensor product is then defined as the vector space

$$V \otimes W \equiv F(V \times W)/R\,. \tag{2.19}$$

The tensor product of two vectors v and w is denoted by the equivalence class $v \otimes w \in ((v, w) + R)$, where $v \otimes w \in V \otimes W$. The principal effect of taking the quotient by R in the free vector space is that the following equations hold in $V \otimes W$

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1 + v_2) \otimes w &= v_1 \otimes w + v_2 \otimes w\,, \\ v \otimes (w_1 + w_2) &= v \otimes w_1 + v \otimes w_2\,, \\ cv \otimes w &= v \otimes cw = c(v \otimes w)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

The tensor product of two graded vector spaces V and W is defined to be another graded vector space $V \otimes W$ with grading

$$(V \otimes W)_i = \bigoplus_{i=j+k} V_j \otimes W_k\,, \qquad i = j + k\,. \tag{2.21}$$

The tensor product can be also applied to morphisms $f, g \in \mathrm{Hom}\,(V, W)$, and it is given by

$$(f \otimes g)\,(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|g||f|} f(v) \otimes g(w)\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Definition 2.1.10. Let V be a graded vector space. The *tensor algebra* $\mathcal{T}(V)$ is the graded vector space given by the collection of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{T}(V)_m = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \bigoplus_{j_1 + \cdots + j_k = m} V_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{j_k}\,, \qquad m \in \mathbb{Z}\,. \tag{2.23}$$

For $k = 0$ the corresponding summand is set to be equal to $\mathbb{R}$.
Every component $\mathcal{T}(V)_m$ can be decomposed with respect to the tensor product degree $\otimes$ as follows

$$\mathcal{T}^k(V)_m \equiv \mathcal{T}(V)_m \cap V^{\otimes k}\,, \qquad k \geq 1\,. \tag{2.24}$$

For $k = 0$ we have $\mathcal{T}^0(V) = \mathbb{R}$. The degree of an element $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k \in V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k$ is defined as $|x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k| = \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|$.
The vector space $\mathcal{T}^k(V)_m$ carries two natural actions, *even* and *odd*, of the group Σ_k of permutations of a set of k elements. The *even* representation intuitively corresponds to the elements of $\mathcal{T}^k(V)_m$ symmetric in the graded sense. It is defined by

$$\sigma \cdot (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) \equiv (-1)^{|x_i||x_{(i+1)}|} x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{(i+1)} \otimes x_i \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k\,, \tag{2.25}$$

where σ is the transposition of the i'th and the $(i+1)$'th element. Similarly, the odd representation intuitively corresponds to the antisymmetric elements of $\mathcal{T}^k(V)_m$ in the graded sense. It is defined by

[2] The Cartesian product $V \times W$ is the set of pairs (v, w) , $v \in V$, $w \in W$, which is itself a vector space, although here it is considered merely as a set.
[3] To simplify the notation, we denote by (v, w) the element $1 \cdot (u, w) \in F(V \times W)$.

$$\sigma \cdot (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) \equiv -(-1)^{|x_i||x_{(i+1)}|} x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{(i+1)} \otimes x_i \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k \,, \tag{2.26}$$

where σ is the transposition of the i'th and the $(i+1)$'th element. Notice that the even as well as the odd representations can be defined for an arbitrary element $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$ as follows

$$\sigma \cdot (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) \equiv \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \cdots, x_k)\, x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)} \,, \tag{2.27}$$

for the even representation and

$$\sigma \cdot (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) \equiv (-1)^{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \cdots, x_k)\, x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)} \,, \tag{2.28}$$

for the odd representation.

Definition 2.1.11. Given a graded vector space V, the *graded symmetric algebra* $\mathcal{S}(V)$ of V is the graded vector space whose elements are the invariants or the even representation of Σ on $\mathcal{T}(V)$ with the inherited grading.

Definition 2.1.12. Given a graded vector space V, the *graded antisymmetric algebra* $\Lambda(V)$ of V is the graded vector space whose elements are the invariants or the odd representation of Σ on $\mathcal{T}(V)$ with the inherited grading. We will denote by $\mathcal{S}^k(V)$ and $\Lambda^k(V)$ the homogeneous elements of degree k of $\mathcal{S}(V)$ and $\Lambda(V)$ respectively.

We can extend the action of $\mathrm{s}^{\pm 1}$ to the tensor product of an arbitrary number of graded vector spaces $V_1, \ldots, V_k$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{s}^{\pm k} : \quad & V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_k \to \mathrm{s}^{\pm 1} V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{s}^{\pm 1} V_k \\ & x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k \mapsto (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (k-i)|x_i|} \mathrm{s}^{\pm 1} x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{s}^{\pm 1} x_k \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Notice that the sign $(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (k-i)|x_i|}$ can be understood by considering $s^{\pm 1}$ as an odd element which requires the introduction of the sign $(-1)^{|x_i|}$ every time it jumps over x_i when acting on $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k$. $s^{\pm k}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces which is not an isomorphism of graded vector spaces, since it does not preserve the grading. Defining now $\mathrm{dec}_k : V^{\otimes^k} \to V^{\otimes^k}$ by

$$\mathrm{dec}_k (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) \equiv (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (k-i)|x_i|} x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k \,, \tag{2.30}$$

we obtain the so-called *décalage-isomorphism* between $\mathrm{s}^{\pm k}\mathcal{S}^k(V)$ and $\Lambda^k\left(\mathrm{s}^{\pm 1} V\right)$. The décalage-isomorphism preserves the grading and therefore $\mathrm{s}^{\pm k}\mathcal{S}^k(V)$ and $\Lambda^k\left(\mathrm{s}^{\pm 1} V\right)$ are isomorphic not only as vector spaces but also as graded vector spaces.

Since it will be useful later, we will rewrite now the Koszul sign $\epsilon\left(\sigma; s^{-1}x_1, \ldots, s^{-1}x_n\right)$ in terms of $\epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. In order to do so, we notice that if $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \Lambda^k V$ then

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = (-1)^{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_k) \left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(k)}\right) \,, \tag{2.31}$$

and therefore

$$s^{-k}((x_1, \ldots, x_k)) = (-1)^{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_k)\, s^{-k}\left(\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(k)}\right)\right) \,, \tag{2.32}$$

which implies, using equation (2.29)

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (k-i)|x_{\sigma(i)}|} (-1)^{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_k)\, \epsilon\left(\sigma; s^{-1}x_1, \ldots, s^{-1}x_k\right) \left(s^{-1}x_1, \ldots, s^{-1}x_k\right) \\ = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (k-i)|x_{\sigma(i)}|} \left(s^{-1}x_1, \ldots, s^{-1}x_k\right) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Hence we conclude

$$\epsilon\left(\sigma; s^{-1}x_1, \ldots, s^{-1}x_k\right) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (k-i)\left(|x_i| + |x_{\sigma(i)}|\right)} (-1)^{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_k) \,. \tag{2.34}$$

We finish this section by defining two specific kind of algebras that we will find later. When we introduce the *Cartan calculus* in section 2.2.1, we will encounter a particular instance of *Gerstenhaber algebra*, which is defined as follows

Definition 2.1.13. A Gerstenhaber algebra is an associative and commutative graded algebra $(V, \cdot)$ equipped with a degree -1 bilinear map $[\cdot,\cdot] : V \to V$ such that the following conditions hold

1. $[x_1, x_2] = -(1)^{(|x_1|-1)(|x_2|-1)}[x_2, x_1]$, for any two homogeneous elements $x_1, x_2 \in V$. That is, the bilinear map is antisymmetric in the graded sense in $s^{-1}V$.
2. $[x, \cdot]$ is a derivation on V of degree $|x| - 1$ for every $x \in V$.
3. The bilinear map obeys

$$[x_1, [x_2, x_3]] = [[x_1, x_2], x_3] + (1)^{(|x_1|-1)(|x_2|-1)} [x_2, [x_3, x_1]] , \tag{2.35}$$

which becomes the graded Jacobi identity on $s^{-1}V$.

From the definition In the context of symplectic geometry in section 2.5 we will find a particular example of a Poisson algebra, whose definition is given by

Definition 2.1.14. A Lie algebra $(X, \cdot, [\cdot,\cdot])$ is called a Poisson algebra if X has a commutative, associative algebra structure such that

$$[x_1 x_2, x_3] = x_1 [x_2, x_3] + [x_1, x_3] x_2 , \tag{2.36}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$.

2.1.2 Homological algebra

The chain complex is the basic structure of homological algebra. It is defined as follows

Definition 2.1.15. A chain complex C is a sequence $(C_\bullet, d_\bullet)$ of abelian groups and group homomorphisms

$$C_\bullet : \cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} C_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots , \tag{2.37}$$

such that

$$d_n \circ d_{n+1} = 0 . \tag{2.38}$$

The abelian groups C_i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the so-called i-chains and the homomorphisms d_i are called the so-called boundary maps.

Analogously, one can define a co-complex by a simple relabelling of the i-chains and the homomorphisms d_i as follows

Definition 2.1.16. A cochain C is a sequence $(C^\bullet, d^\bullet)$ of abelian groups and group homomorphisms

$$C^\bullet : \cdots \longrightarrow C^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} C^n \xrightarrow{d^n} C^{n+1} \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} \cdots , \tag{2.39}$$

such that

$$d^n \circ d^{n-1} = 0 . \tag{2.40}$$

The abelian groups C^i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the so-called i-co-chains and the homomorphisms d^i are called the so-called coboundary maps.

In this letter we will use the cochain notation. From equation (2.40) we immediately deduce that

$$B^i = \mathrm{Im}\, d^{i-1} \subseteq Z^i = \mathrm{Ker}\, d^i , \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z} , \tag{2.41}$$

where Ker and Im respectively denote the kernel and image of the given map. Since subgroups of abelian groups are normal, we can define a new group by taking the corresponding quotient. We define this way the ith-cohomology group as follows

$$H^i(C) = \frac{Z^i}{B^i}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.42}$$

A cochain is called an exact sequence if all its cohomology groups are zero. The cochain groups C^i may be endowed with extra structure; for example, they may be vector spaces or modules over a fixed ring $\mathbb{K}$. In that case, the coboundary operators must preserve the extra structure if it exists; for instance, they must be linear maps or homomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}$-modules.

Let $C = (C^\bullet, d_C^\bullet)$ and $D = (D^\bullet, d_D^\bullet)$ be cochain complexes. A morphism $F : C^\bullet \to D^\bullet$ between C and D is a family of homomorphisms of abelian groups $F^i : C^i \to D^i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ that commute with the co-boundary operators, that is

$$F^{i+1} \circ d_C^i = d_D^i \circ F^i, \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.43}$$

A morphism of chain complexes induces a morphism F_H of their homology groups, consisting of the homomorphisms F_H^i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$F_H^i([x]) = \left[F^i(x)\right], \qquad \forall [x] \in H^i(C),\ i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.44}$$

A morphism F such that F_H is an isomorphism, that is, that induces an isomorphism in cohomology, is called a *quasi-isomorphism*. The prominent example of cochain that will appear in this letter is the L_∞-algebra, which we will introduce in chapter 3. For L_∞-algebras we will need to introduce a less restrictive concept of morphism, which is adapted to the structure present in L_∞-algebras.

Suppose that there exists a map $f : X \to Y$ between two objects X and Y. Then, Homological algebra studies the relation, induced by the map f, between chain complexes (or co-complexes) associated with X and Y and their homology (or cohomology).

Example 2.1.17. Given a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ we can construct the complex

$$C^i \equiv \Omega^i(\mathcal{M}), \tag{2.45}$$

where $\Omega^i(\mathcal{M})$ denotes the set of i-forms on $\mathcal{M}$[4]. The co-boundary operator is the exterior derivative $d^i : \Omega^i(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega^{i+1}(\mathcal{M})$. The corresponding cohomology is the *de-Rham cohomology*

$$H^i(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{\operatorname{Ker} d^i}{\operatorname{Im} d^{i-1}}. \tag{2.46}$$

The de-Rahm cohomology groups give important information about the manifold where it is defined. For instance, the zero cohomology group $H^0(\mathcal{M})$ of any differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is given by

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2.47}$$

where n is the number of connected components of $\mathcal{M}$. This can be easily seen from the fact that any function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ such that $df = 0$ is constant on each of the connected component of $\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, the dimension of the $H^0(\mathcal{M})$ gives the number of connected componentes of $\mathcal{M}$.

Example 2.1.18. **Lie Algebra Cohomology**. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. We define the following cochain complex $C = (C^\bullet, \delta^\bullet)$

$$C^i \equiv \Lambda^i \mathfrak{g}^*, \tag{2.48}$$

with coboundary operator $\delta^k : C^k \to C^{k+1}$ given by

$$\delta^k c(x_1, \cdots, x_k) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} (-1)^{i+j} c([x_i, x_j], x_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_i, \ldots, \hat{x}_j, \ldots, x_k), \tag{2.49}$$

for all $x_1, \cdots, x_k \in \mathfrak{g}$. Notice that we interpret an element $c \in C^i$ as an alternating i-linear operator $c : \mathfrak{g}^{\times k} \to \mathbb{R}$. δ is in fact a coboundary operator; it can be checked that $\delta^2 = 0$. We can introduce now the *Lie algebra cohomology groups*, or *Chevalley cohomology groups*, of $\mathfrak{g}$ using (2.42)

[4] See section 2.2 for more details.

$$H^i(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{R}) \equiv \frac{\operatorname{Ker}\delta^i}{\operatorname{Im}\delta^{i-1}}\,. \tag{2.50}$$

which in fact contain the same information as the de-Rham cohomology groups of G, in the following sense

Theorem 2.1.19. *If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of compact connected Lie group G, then*

$$H^i(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{R}) = H^i_{\text{de Rham}}(G)\,. \tag{2.51}$$

2.1.3 Coalgebras

Coalgebras are structures that are dual, in the category-theoretic sense of reversing arrows, to algebras. An algebra $(V,\cdot)$ is, as explained in section 2.1.1, a vector space equipped with a product $\cdot$, which defines the following application

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : V\otimes V &\to V \\ (x_1,x_2) &\mapsto x_1\cdot x_2\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

Therefore, we should expect a coalgebra C to be equipped with some map in the opposite direction

$$C \to C\otimes C\,. \tag{2.53}$$

The precise definition goes as follows.

Definition 2.1.20. A graded coalgebra (C,Δ) is a graded vector space C equipped with a linear map $\Delta\colon C\to C\otimes C$, the so-called *comultiplication*, such that

$$\Delta(C_i) \subset \bigoplus_{j+k=i} C_j\otimes C_k\,. \tag{2.54}$$

A coalgebra (C,Δ) is said to be *coassociative* if the following condition holds

$$(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})\,\Delta = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)\,\Delta\,. \tag{2.55}$$

Equation (2.55) is just the coalgebra version of the associativity condition for an algebra. Similarly, we can define a *cocommutative* coalgebra by imposing the dual condition of commutativity in an algebra. Indeed, if (C,Δ) is a coalgebra, let us denote by $T : C\otimes C\to C$ the twist map $T(x\otimes y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}y\otimes x$. It is said that (C,Δ) is cocommutative if and only if $T\circ\Delta = \Delta$. As well as a the concept of indentity can be defined for algebras, we can introduce a similar structure for coalgebras, called the *identity*.

Definition 2.1.21. A counit for (C,Δ) is a linear map $\epsilon : C\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $(\epsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\epsilon)\Delta = \mathrm{id}$.
In order to elucidate the structure present in a coalgebra, the following commutative diagrams may be illustrative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\quad\Delta\quad} & C\otimes C \\ {\scriptstyle\Delta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta} \\ C\otimes C & \xrightarrow{\quad\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id}\quad} & C\otimes C\otimes C \end{array}$$



Diagram (2.1.21) is the dual, in the category-theoretic sense of reversing arrows, of the analogous diagram that express associativity of algebra multiplication. Diagram (2.1.21) is the dual, in the same sense as before, of the analogous diagram expressing the existence of an identity in a unital algebra.

Definition 2.1.22. A coalgebra (C, Δ) with counit ϵ is *coaugmented* if and only if it can be equipped with an injective linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\hookrightarrow C && (2.56)\\ 1 &\mapsto 1_C && (2.57) \end{aligned}$$

such that $\epsilon(1_C) = 1$ and $\Delta(1_C) = 1_C \otimes 1_C$. We can write in that case $\bar{C} = \ker \epsilon$ so that we have $C \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus \bar{C}$ as vector spaces.

Intuitively, if a coalgebra C admits a coaugmentation, then it contains a copy of $\mathbb{R}$. For a given coaugmented coalgebra, we define the *reduced comultiplication* $\bar{\Delta}\colon \bar{C} \to \bar{C} \otimes \bar{C}$ as follows

$$\bar{\Delta} c = \Delta c - c \otimes 1_C - 1_C \otimes c. \tag{2.58}$$

The equation above makes $\bar{C}$ into a coalgebra with no counit. We denote now the **reduced diagonal** by $\Delta^{(n)}$. It can be recursively defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}^{(0)} &= \mathrm{id} \\ \bar{\Delta}^{(1)} &= \bar{\Delta} \\ \bar{\Delta}^{(n)} &= \left(\bar{\Delta} \otimes \mathrm{id}^{\otimes(n-1)}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}^{(n-1)} \colon \bar{C} \to \bar{C}^{\otimes(n+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

It can be shown by induction that we can rewrite $\bar{\Delta}^{(n)}$ as

$$\bar{\Delta}^{n} = \left(\bar{\Delta}^{(n-1)} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \circ \bar{\Delta}\,. \tag{2.60}$$

A coaugmented coalgebra $(C, \Delta, \epsilon, 1_C)$ has always a canonical filtration[5] which can be defined recursively as follows

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 C &= \mathbb{R} \cdot 1_C && (2.61)\\ F_k C &= \left\{ x \in \bar{C} \mid \bar{\Delta} x \in F_{k-1} C \otimes F_{k-1} C \right\}, && (2.62) \end{aligned}$$

and it is *connected* if and only if

$$C = \bigcup F_k C\,. \tag{2.63}$$

If $(C, \Delta, \epsilon, 1_C)$ is connected, it can be proven that the coaugmentation 1_C is unique [6].

[5] A filtration is an indexed set S_i of sub-objects of a given algebraic structure S, with the index i taking values in a totally ordered set I, subject to the condition that if $i \leq j$ in I then $S_i \subseteq S_j$.

[6] See proposition 3.1 in section B3 of reference [51]

Example 2.1.23. Graded symmetric algebra. Let V be a graded vector space. Then the the graded symmetric algebra is given by

$$S(V) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} S^k V = \mathbb{R} \oplus \bar{S}(V) \tag{2.64}$$

is a coaugmented cocommutative coalgebra in a natural way. The comultiplication Δ is defined as the unique morphism of algebras such that $\Delta(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$ holds for all $v \in V$, assuming that $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$. The counit is defined as the projection $S(V) \to \mathbb{R}$, and the coaugmentation is given by the inclusion $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow S(V)$. The reduced comultiplication $\bar{\Delta}$ on $\bar{S}(V)$ can be given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}(v_1 \odot v_2 \odot \cdots \odot v_n) &= \sum_{1 \leq p \leq n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(p,n-p)} \epsilon(\sigma) \left(v_{\sigma(1)} \odot v_{\sigma(2)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(p)}\right) \\ &\otimes \left(v_{\sigma(p+1)} \odot v_{\sigma(p+2)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(n)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.65}$$

where $\odot$ denotes the symmetrized tensor product. That is, if $v_1, \cdots, v_p \in V$ are $p \in \mathbb{N}$ homogeneous elements then we have

$$v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_p = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(p)}. \tag{2.66}$$

The following lemma, which we extract from [52], will be needed in order to properly rewrite L_∞-morphisms.

Lemma 2.1.24. *If $v_1 \odot v_2 \odot \cdots \odot v_n \in \bar{S}(V)$, and $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}^p(v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_n) &= \sum_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_{p+1} \geq 1}^{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_{p+1}=n} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_{p+1})} \epsilon(\sigma)\, v_{\sigma(1)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(k_1)} \\ &\otimes v_{\sigma(k_1+1)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(k_1+k_2)} \otimes v_{\sigma(k_1+k_2+1)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(k_1+k_2+k_3)} \otimes \cdots \\ &\otimes v_{\sigma(m-k_{p+1}+1)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.67}$$

and in particular we have

$$\bar{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n} \epsilon(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes v_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)}. \tag{2.68}$$

Proof. See lemma A.1 in [52].

Lemma 2.1.24 implies that $\ker \bar{\Delta}^{(k)} = \bar{S}^{\bullet \leq k}(V)$ for $k \geq 0$ and also that

$$\bar{S}(V) = \bigcup_n \ker \bar{\Delta}^{(n)}. \tag{2.69}$$

The filtration $F_n S(V)$ corresponds, for $n \geq 1$, to the filtration on $\bar{S}(V)$ defined by $\ker \bar{\Delta}^{(n)}$. This proves that $S(V)$ is a connected coalgebra.

In order to define L_∞-algebras and L_∞-algebra morphisms, the concepts of coalgebra differential and coalgebra morphism are going to prove essential.

Definition 2.1.25. A *codifferential* of degree one on a coalgebra (C, Δ) is a linear map $Q \colon C^i \to C^{i+1}$ satisfying

$$Q \circ Q = 0, \tag{2.70}$$

and the coLeibniz identity

$$\Delta Q = (Q \otimes \mathrm{id})\,\Delta + (\mathrm{id} \otimes Q)\Delta. \tag{2.71}$$

In the case of a codifferential Q defined on connected coalgebra $(C, \Delta, \epsilon, 1_C)$, we require an additional condition, namely

$$Q(1_C) = 0\,. \tag{2.72}$$

A codifferential defined on a connected coalgebra $(C, \Delta, \epsilon, 1_C)$ is uniquely given by its restriction to $\bar{C}$, which satisfies the coLeibniz identity with respect to $\bar{\Delta}$.

Let us consider the particular case $C = \bar{T}(V)$. Given a codifferential Q on $\bar{T}(V)$, consider the restrictions

$$Q_m = Q|_{\bar{T}^m(V)} : \bar{T}^m(V) \to \bar{T}(V), \quad 1 \leq m < \infty\,, \tag{2.73}$$

so that $Q = \sum_k^\infty Q_k$, and the projections

$$Q_m^k = \mathrm{pr}_{\bar{T}^k(V)} \circ Q_m \colon \bar{T}^m(V) \to \bar{T}^k(V)\,. \tag{2.74}$$

Then, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.1.26. *A coderivation Q of $\bar{T}(V)$, respectively $\bar{S}(V)$, is uniquely determined by the collection Q_i^1, $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_m) &= Q_m^1(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_m) + \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(i,m-i)} & \epsilon(\sigma) Q_i^1\left(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(i)}\right) \otimes x_{\sigma(i+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(m)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.75}$$

Proof. See lemma 2.4 in [12] or appendix A in [52].

Definition 2.1.27. A morphism between connected coalgebras $(C_1, \Delta_1, \epsilon_1, 1_{C_1})$ and $(C_2, \Delta_2, \epsilon_2, 1_{C_2})$ is a degree zero linear map $F \colon C_1 \to C_2$ satisfying

$$\Delta_2 \circ F = (F \otimes F) \circ \Delta\,, \tag{2.76}$$

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 \circ F\,. \tag{2.77}$$

We have that, since $\mathbb{R}$ is a field, F automatically preserves the coaugmentations. Therefore, it can be uniquely determined by its restriction to $\bar{C}$. Hence, morphisms between such coalgebras correspond to degree zero linear maps $F : \bar{C}_1 \to \bar{C}_2$ that satisfy $\bar{\Delta}_2 \circ F = (F \otimes F) \circ \bar{\Delta}_1$. The condition (2.77) is just the dual of the condition

$$\phi(1_{X_1}) = 1_{X_2}\,, \tag{2.78}$$

where X_i, $i = 1, 2$

Proposition 2.1.28. *Let $(C, \Delta, \epsilon, 1_C)$ be a connected coalgebra and let $f : \bar{C} \to V$ be a degree zero linear map from $\bar{C} = \ker \epsilon$ to a graded vector space V. Then, there exists a unique morphism of connected coalgebras $F : C \to S(V)$ such that $\mathrm{pr}_V \circ F|_{\bar{C}} = f$, where $\mathrm{pr}_V : S(V) \to V$ is the corresponding proyection.*

Proof. See references [51] and [53].

Since it will be important in chapter 3 for the study of L_∞ algebras, let us consider the particular case when $\bar{C} = \bar{S}(V)$. We define then the degree zero linear map

$$F^1 : \bar{S}(V_1) \to V_2\,, \tag{2.79}$$

where V_1 and V_2 are graded vector spaces. Additionally, we define the restrictions F_k^1 as follows

$$F_k^1 = F^1|_{\bar{S}^k(V_1)}\,, \tag{2.80}$$

and hence

$$F^1 = \sum_k^\infty F_k^1 \,. \tag{2.81}$$

Then, the following corollary of proposition 2.1.28 holds

Corollary 2.1.29. *Let V_1 and V_2 be graded vector spaces and let $F^1 \colon \bar{S}(V_1) \to V_2$ be a degree zero linear map. There exists then a unique morphism of coalgebras*

$$F : S(V_1) \to S(V_2) \,, \tag{2.82}$$

such that it satisfies $\mathrm{pr}_{V_2} \circ F|_{\bar{S}(V_2)} = F^1$, where pr_{V_2} is the corresponding projection to V_2.

The construction of the coalgebra morphism F for this particular case can be found in proposition A.2 of [52].

2.2 Differential geometry basics

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a topological space. $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be Hausdorff or T_2 if for every pair points $p, q \in \mathcal{M}$ there exist neighbourhoods $\mathcal{U}(p), \mathcal{U}(q)$ of p and q, such that $\mathcal{U}(p) \cap \mathcal{U}(q) = \emptyset$. $\mathcal{M}$ is a second-countable space if its topology has a countable base, that is, if there exists a countable collection $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of open sets such that any open set in $\mathcal{M}$ can be written as a subfamily of the collection. An *open chart* on $\mathcal{M}$ is a pair $(\mathcal{U}, \phi)$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{M}$ and ϕ is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{U}$ onto an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a Hausdorff, second-countable, topological space. A *differentiable structure* on $\mathcal{M}$ is a collection of open charts $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ such that the following conditions hold

1. $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{U}_\alpha$
2. $\phi_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for all $\alpha \in I$ and for each pair $\alpha, \beta \in I$ $\phi_\beta \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}$ is a differentiable[7] mapping of $\phi_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta)$ onto $\phi_\beta(\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta)$.
3. The collection $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ is a maximal family of open charts for which conditions 1. and 2. hold. $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ is then called the *atlas* of $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.2.2. A *differentiable manifold* of dimension n is a Hausdorff, second-countable, topological space equipped with a differentiable structure of dimension n.

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a manifold, a *local chart* or *local coordinate system* on $\mathcal{M}$ is a pair $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$ where $\alpha \in I$. For every $p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha, \alpha \in I$, $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is called a *coordinate neighbourhood* of p and the numbers $\phi_\alpha(p) = (\mathrm{x}^1(p), \ldots, \mathrm{x}^n(p))$ are the local coordinates of p. Condition 3 is not essential in the definition of a manifold, since if only 1 and 2 are satisfied, the family $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ can be extended in a unique way to a family of charts such that 1, 2 and 3 are fulfilled.

Since a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$, it shares the same local topological properties. In particular, manifolds are locally compact and locally connected. That means, respectively, that every point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ has a compact neighbourhood and a connected neighbourhood. Using that the topology of $\mathcal{M}$ has a countable basis and it is locally compact, it can be shown that $\mathcal{M}$ is paracompact, that is, every open cover of $\mathcal{M}$ admits a locally finite refinement. Paracompactness is a sufficient condition for partitions of unit to exist, and therefore $\mathcal{M}$ is also metrizable[8]. Schematically we can write

$$\mathcal{M}: \ \mathrm{Hausdorff\ and\ second-countable\ space} \xrightarrow{\text{locally homeomorphic to } \mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{Paracompact\ and\ metrizable}\,. \tag{2.83}$$

In order to give some intuition or justification to the conditions included in the definition of a manifold, let us take an example from Physics, in particular from General Relativity. In the context of General Relativity, the space-time is usually described as an n-dimensional differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$. In that context, the Hausdorff condition is natural since it is experimentally observed. On the other hand, the gravitational interaction is described by a Lorentzian metric g on $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is paracompact and therefore metrizable, we know that we can always

[7] By differentiable we will always mean, unless otherwise stated, infinitely differentiable or C^∞.
[8] By metrizable, we mean that $\mathcal{M}$ admits a metric g.

equip the space-time manifold with such a metric. The second-countable condition is a reasonable assumption for topological spaces locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$, since otherwise the space would not be adapted to be locally *like* $\mathbb{R}^n$.

A function $f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{M}$ if $f \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1} : \phi_\alpha(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $\phi_\alpha(p) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. f is called differentiable if it is differentiable at every point $p \in \mathcal{M}$. We denote by $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ the set of differentiable functions from $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ and by $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, p)$ the set of functions from $\mathcal{M}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ differentiable at $p \in \mathcal{M}$.

There are three basic and equivalent ways to define the tangent space $T_p\mathcal{M}$ of a differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$ at a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$, namely

1. Let $\mathfrak{T}_p$ be the set of all pairs (ϕ_α, u), where $p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We define an equivalence relation $(\phi_\alpha, u) \sim (\phi_\beta, v)$ by the condition

$$d_{\phi_\alpha(p)}\left(\phi_\beta \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}\right)(u) = v\,. \tag{2.84}$$

   The equivalence class of (ϕ_α, u) will be denoted by $[\phi_\alpha, u]$. The set $T_p\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathfrak{T}_p/\sim$ is then the tangent space at the point $p \in \mathcal{M}$. If $e_i\,, i = 1, \ldots, n$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we define the partial derivatives respect to x^i by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}^i} = [\phi_\alpha, e_i]\,, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n\,. \tag{2.85}$$

2. A curve in $\mathcal{M}$ is a map $c : [0,1] \to \mathcal{M}$. A curve c is differentiable at $t_0 \in (0,1)\,, c(t_0) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{M}$, if $\phi_\alpha \circ c : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at t_0. Let be $\mathfrak{T}_p$ the set of all the curves in $\mathcal{M}$ passing through $p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. We define the following equivalence relation $\sim$: two curves $c_1(t)$ and $c_2(t)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ passing through p are related by $\sim$ if

$$\partial_t\left(\phi_\alpha \circ c_1\right)(t_0) = \partial_t\left(\phi_\alpha \circ c_2\right)(t_0)\,, \tag{2.86}$$

   where $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed real number. We denote by $[c]$ the class of equivalence of c. Then the tangent space is $T_p\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathfrak{T}_p/\sim$.

3. A derivation at $p \in \mathcal{M}$ is a linear application $D : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$D(fg) = f(p)\,D(g) + gD(f)\,. \tag{2.87}$$

   for every $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, p)$. We denote the space of derivations at $p \in \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{M}, p)$. Then we have $T_p\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathrm{Der}(\mathcal{M}, p)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a differentiable manifold of dimension n with atlas $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ and let $\mathcal{N}$ be a differentiable manifold of dimension m with atlas $(\mathcal{V}_\beta, \psi_\beta)_{\beta \in J}$. Let Φ be a mapping from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$. Φ it is said to be differentiable at a point $p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ if the map $\psi_\beta \circ \Phi \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\Phi(p) = q \in \mathcal{V}_\beta$, is differentiable at $\phi_\alpha^{-1}(p)$. Φ is said to be differentiable if it is differentiable at every point p in $\mathcal{M}$. Φ is said to be a diffeomorphism if it is a differentiable biyective map with differentiable inverse. Φ is said to be a local diffeomorphism if for every $p \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists an open set $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}$ containing p such that Φ restricted to $\mathcal{U}$ is a diffeomorphism.

The differential $d_p\Phi$ of Φ at a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ is a linear map $d_p\Phi : T_p\mathcal{M} \to T_q\mathcal{N}$ which can be naturally defined, for each of the equivalent definitions of the tangent space, as follows

1. $d_p\Phi : [\phi_\alpha, u] \mapsto \left[\psi_\alpha, d_{\phi_\alpha(p)}\left(\psi_\alpha \circ \Phi \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}\right)(u)\right]$
2. $d_p\Phi : [c] \mapsto [\Phi \circ c]$
3. $d_p\Phi : D \mapsto \Phi_* D$, where $\Phi_* D(h) = D(h \circ \Phi)$ for every $h \in C^\infty(\mathcal{N}, q)$.

We are ready to introduce the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}$, which is a special instance of vector bundle, which will be defined in section 2.3. Let us consider the set

$$T\mathcal{M} = \{(p, v) : p \in \mathcal{M}\,, v \in T_p\mathcal{M}\} = \bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{M}} \{p\} \times T_p\mathcal{M}\,. \tag{2.88}$$

We define the projection $\pi : T\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ as $\pi(p,v) = p$ for every $(p,v) \in T\mathcal{M}$. $T\mathcal{M}$ can be equipped with the topology whose open sets are given by $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$, where $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{M}$ is an open set of the atlas of $\mathcal{M}$. In every $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ we define coordinates $\tilde{\phi}_\alpha$ as follows

$$\tilde{\phi}_\alpha(p,v) = (\phi_\alpha(p), d_p\phi_\alpha(v)) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\,, \tag{2.89}$$

for every $(p,v) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset T\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, $T\mathcal{M}$ is a $2n$-dimensional manifold which is in particular a vector bundle of rank n and fibre at a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ given by the vector space $T_p\mathcal{M}$.

A complete vector field $v \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$[9] generates a family of diffeomorphisms $\rho_t : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows.

Definition 2.2.3. Every complete vector field $v \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ generates a family of diffeomorphisms $\rho_t : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For each $p \in \mathcal{M}$, $\rho_t(p)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is, by definition, the unique integral curve of v passing through p at $t = 0$.

It can be can be checked that the map $\mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ given by $t \to \rho_t$ is a group homomorphism. The family $\{\rho_t\,,\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is called the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$.

At every point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ we denote the dual space of $T_p\mathcal{M}$ as $T_p^*\mathcal{M}$. Elements of $T_p^*\mathcal{M}$ are called one-forms at the point p. Similarly, the dual bundle of $T\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by $T^*\mathcal{M}$. Sections[10] of $T\mathcal{M}$ ($T^*\mathcal{M}$) are vector (one-form) fields in $\mathcal{M}$. They correspond simply to a smooth choice of vector (one-form) in $T_p\mathcal{M}$ ($T_p^*\mathcal{M}$) at every point $p \in \mathcal{M}$. The set of all the vector fields (one-form fields) in $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ ($\Omega^1(\mathcal{M})$) or equivalently by $\Gamma(T\mathcal{M})$ ($\Gamma(T^*\mathcal{M})$). Analogously, an element $\mathfrak{T}_p$ of $(T_p\mathcal{M})^{\otimes s} \otimes \left(T_p^*\mathcal{M}\right)^{\otimes r}$ is a (r,s) tensor[11] and a section $\mathfrak{T}$ of $\Gamma\left((T\mathcal{M})^{\otimes s} \otimes (T^*\mathcal{M})^{\otimes r}\right)$ is a (r,s) tensor field on $\mathcal{M}$.

Of outermost importance in differential geometry are the tensor algebra[12] $(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{M}), \otimes)$ and the algebra of differential forms $(\Lambda(\mathcal{M}), \wedge)$. Let $\mathrm{T}_{(r,s)}(\mathcal{M})$ denote the set of all tensor fields on $\mathcal{M}$ of type (r,s), and let $\Omega^k(\mathcal{M})$ denote the set of all k-form fields on $\mathcal{M}$. Then we have[13]

$$\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{M}) = \sum_{r,s=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{T}_{(r,s)}(\mathcal{M})\,, \qquad \Lambda(\mathcal{M}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega^k(\mathcal{M})\,. \tag{2.90}$$

Please notice that the infinite sum in the definition of $\Lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is only formal; for finite-dimensional manifolds it will contain only a finite number of terms.

There are several important operators that can be defined on $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\Lambda(\mathcal{M})$. Here we will consider the interior product ι_v, the exterior derivative or de Rham differential d and the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_v$, $v \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{M})$.

The interior product ι_v The interior product $\iota_v \colon \Omega^i(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega^{(i-1)}(\mathcal{M})$ is a -1 degree derivation on the exterior algebra of differential forms $\Lambda(\mathcal{M})$. It is defined to be the contraction of a differential form with a vector field $v \in \mathcal{M}$ as follows

$$(\iota_v\omega)\left(v_1, \ldots, v_{(i-1)}\right) = \omega\left(v, v_1, \ldots, v_{(p-1)}\right)\,, \qquad \forall\ v_1, \ldots, v_{(p-1)} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})\,. \tag{2.91}$$

The interior product is the unique derivation of degree 1 on the exterior algebra such that on one-forms corresponds to the natural pairing of one-forms and vectors.

The exterior derivative d The exterior derivative d is defined to be the unique $\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping $d \colon \Omega^i(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega^{(i+1)}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

- df is the differential of f for every function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.
- $d \circ df = 0$ for every function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.
- $d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (1)^p\alpha \wedge d\beta)$, where α is a p-form and β is any form.

Since the second defining property holds in more generality, that is, $d \circ d\alpha = 0$ for any p-form α, it is usually written as $d^2 = d \circ d = 0$.

[9] A vector field is said to be complete if the parameter of each integral curve extends to $(-\infty, \infty)$.
[10] See definition (2.3.3).
[11] For the definition of tensor product see 2.1.9.
[12] For the abstract definition of algebra see 2.1.1.
[13] For more details and general definitions, see section 2.1.

The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_v$ The Lie derivative can be defined acting on tensor fields of any type (r,s), that is, it has a well defined action on $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{M})$. Intuitively, the lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_v$ evaluates the change of a tensor field along the flow of the vector field v. It is defined point-wise as follows

$$(\mathcal{L}_v\mathfrak{T})_p = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\left((\varphi_{-t})_*\mathfrak{T}_{\varphi_t(p)}\right) = \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0}\left((\varphi_t)^*\mathfrak{T}\right)_p\,, \tag{2.92}$$

where $\mathfrak{T}$ is a (r,s) tensor field on $\mathcal{M}$ and $p\in\mathcal{M}$. It can be checked that with the definition (2.92) $\mathcal{L}_v\mathfrak{T}$ is again a (r,s) tensor field on $\mathcal{M}$. We now give an algebraic definition. The algebraic definition for the Lie derivative of a tensor field follows from the following four axioms

- $\mathcal{L}_v f = v(f)$ for all $f\in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.
- The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_v$ obeys the Leibniz rule. That is, for any tensor fields $\mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathfrak{T}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_v\left(\mathfrak{S}\otimes\mathfrak{T}\right) = \left(\mathcal{L}_v\mathfrak{S}\right)\otimes\mathfrak{T} + \mathfrak{S}\otimes\left(\mathcal{L}_v\mathfrak{T}\right),. \tag{2.93}$$

- The Lie derivative, when applied to forms, obeys the Leibniz rule with respect to contraction

$$\mathcal{L}_v(\mathfrak{T}(Y_1,\ldots,Y_n)) = (\mathcal{L}_v\mathfrak{T})(Y_1,\ldots,Y_n) + \mathfrak{T}((\mathcal{L}_vY_1),\ldots,Y_n) + \cdots + T(Y_1,\ldots,(\mathcal{L}_vY_n)) \tag{2.94}$$

- The Lie derivative, when applied to forms, commutes with the de Rham differential d, that is

$$[\mathcal{L}_v, d] = 0\,, \tag{2.95}$$

The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_v$ can be compactly written as

$$\mathcal{L}_v = \iota_v\circ d + d\circ\iota_v\,, \tag{2.96}$$

which is known as the *Cartan formula*.

2.2.1 Cartan calculus

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ the $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$-module of vector fields on $\mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim\mathcal{M}}\Lambda^k\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})\,, \tag{2.97}$$

is a graded commutative algebra, the so-called graded commutative algebra of multivector fields, where the corresponding algebra product is given by the wedge product, denoted by $\wedge$. $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ can be equipped with a degree minus one Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]:\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})\times\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})\to\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ that satisfies the (graded) Leibniz rule with respect to the algebra product, that is, the wedge product. $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is given by

$$[u_1\wedge\cdots\wedge u_m, v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_n] = \sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{j=1}^n(-1)^{i+j}\,[u_i,v_j]\wedge u_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{u}_i\wedge\cdots\wedge u_m\wedge v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\hat{v}_j\wedge\cdots\wedge v_n\,,$$

where $u_1\wedge\cdots\wedge u_m\,,\ v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_n\ \in\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ and $[u_i,v_j]$ is the standard Lie bracket of vector fields. This is the so-called *Schouten bracket*, and it makes $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}),\wedge,[\cdot,\cdot])$ into a particular instance of Gerstenhaber algebra[14].

We can define also the interior product of any decomposable multivector field, say $v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_n$, with any $\beta\in\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ is given by

$$\iota(v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_n)\beta = \iota_{v_n}\cdots\iota_{v_1}\beta, \tag{2.98}$$

[14] See definition 2.1.13.

where $\iota_{v_i}\beta$ is the stands for the usual interior product of vector fields and differential forms. The formula for the interior product of any multivector can be obtained by extending using $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ linearity.

The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_v$ of any differential form β along any given multivector field $v \in \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ can be written in terms of the graded commutator of d and ι_v as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_v\beta = d\iota_v\beta - (-1)^{|v|}\iota_v d\beta\,, \tag{2.99}$$

where ι_v must be understood as a degree $-|v|$ operator. We will need one more identity. Let $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$. Then it can be proven that

$$\iota_{[u,v]}\beta = (-1)^{(|u|-1)|v|}\mathcal{L}_u\iota_v\beta - \iota_v\mathcal{L}_u\beta\,. \tag{2.100}$$

The graded commutative algebra of multivector fields $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ together with the Schouten bracket is therefore a particular instance of a Gerstenhaber algebra that can be constructed in any differential manifold $\mathcal{M}$.

2.3 Fibre bundles

Definition 2.3.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be differentiable manifolds and let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ a differentiable map. The quadruple $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ is a locally trivial differentiable fibre bundle if for every $p \in \mathcal{M}$ there is an open set $\mathcal{U}$ containing p and a diffeomorphism $\phi : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{F}$ such that the following diagram commutes



where pr_1 is the proyection on the first factor. $\mathcal{E}$ is the total space, $\mathcal{M}$ is the base space, $\mathcal{F}$ is the typical fibre and π is the bundle projection. For each $p \in \mathcal{M}$, the set $\mathcal{E}_p \equiv \pi^{-1}(p)$ is the fibre over p, which is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$. The maps $\phi : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{F}$ are called the local trivializations of the bundle. Such a local trivialization must be of the form $\phi = \left(\pi_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})}, \Phi\right)$ where

$$\Phi : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \to \mathcal{F}\,, \tag{2.101}$$

is a differentiable map such that

$$\Phi_{|\,\mathcal{E}_p} : \mathcal{E}_p \to \mathcal{F}\,, \tag{2.102}$$

is a diffeomorphism. The pair $(\mathcal{U}, \phi)$, where ϕ is a local trivialization over the open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is called a bundle chart. A family $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ such that $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ is a cover of $\mathcal{M}$ is a bundle atlas. Given two different bundle charts $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{U}_\beta, \phi_\beta)$ such that $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \neq \emptyset$ we have the overlap map

$$\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1} : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \times \mathcal{F}\,, \tag{2.103}$$

which can be written as follows

$$\phi_\alpha \circ \phi_\beta^{-1}(p, q) = (p, \Phi_{\alpha\beta}(p)(q))\ , \qquad p \in \mathcal{M}\,, \qquad q \in \mathcal{F}\,, \tag{2.104}$$

where $\Phi_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{F})$ is given by

$$p \mapsto \Phi_{\alpha\beta}(p) = \Phi_{\alpha|\,\mathcal{E}_p} \circ \Phi^{-1}_{\beta|\,\mathcal{E}_p}\ . \tag{2.105}$$

The functions $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}$ are called the transition maps, and satisfy

- $\Phi_{\alpha\alpha}(p) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{F})}\,, \qquad p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\,,$
- $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}(p) = \Phi_{\beta\alpha}(p)^{-1}\,, \qquad p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta\,,$
- $\Phi_{\alpha\beta}(p) \circ \Phi_{\beta\gamma}(p) \circ \Phi_{\gamma\alpha}(p) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{F})}\,, \qquad p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \cap \mathcal{U}_\gamma\,,$

for all $\alpha\,,\beta\,,\gamma \in I$. The characterization just given of the transition maps as a map to the diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal{F}$ can be usually restricted to a map onto a lie group G acting on $\mathcal{F}$ by a particular action $\Psi : G \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$. The reader is invited to consult [46, 47] for more details.

Definition 2.3.2. Let $\xi_1 = (\mathcal{E}_1, \pi_1, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $\xi_2 = (\mathcal{E}_2, \pi_2, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ differentiable fibre bundles. A morphism from ξ_1 to ξ_2 is a couple of maps $F : \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_2$ and $f : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad F \quad} & \mathcal{E}_2 \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} \\ \mathcal{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \mathcal{M}_2 \end{array}$$

If F and f are diffeomorphisms, then $(F, f) : \xi_1 \to \xi_2$ is a bundle isomorphism.

Definition 2.3.3. A differentiable global section of a fibre bundle $\xi = (\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ is a differentiable map $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{E}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{M}}$. A differentiable local section over an open set $\mathcal{U}$ is a differentiable map $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{U}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{U}}$.
The set of differentiable sections of ξ is denoted by $\Gamma(\xi)$ or $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$. Notice that a fibre bundle may not have any global section.

Definition 2.3.4. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over the complex or real numbers. A smooth vector bundle with typical fibre V is a fibre bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \pi, \mathcal{M}, V)$ such that

- for each $p \in \mathcal{M}$ we have that $\mathcal{E}_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$ is a vector space isomorphic to V.
- for every $p \in \mathcal{M}$ there exist a bundle chart $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$ containing p such that

$$\Phi_{|\,\mathcal{E}_p} : \mathcal{E}_p \to V\,, \tag{2.106}$$

  is a vector space isomorphism, where $\phi = \big(\pi_{\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})}, \Phi\big)$.

The typical example of vector bundle is the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$ over a manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The notion of bundle morphism, given in definition (2.3.2) specializes to vector bundles by requiring $F_{|\,\pi_1^{-1}(p)} : \pi_1^{-1}(p) \to \pi_2^{-1}(f(p))$ to be linear.

Given two vector bundles $\pi_1 : \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{M}$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{M}$, we can define the *Whitney sum bundle* $\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2 : \mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2 \to \mathcal{M}$ such that the fibre at a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$ is given by $(\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2)_p = \mathcal{E}_{1\,p} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2\,p}$.

The pull-back of a vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ by a smooth map $f : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is a differentiable manifold, is the vector bundle $(f^*\mathcal{E})$ over $\mathcal{N}$ defined as follows

$$f^*\mathcal{E} = \{(q, e) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{E} \mid f(q) = \pi(e)\} \subset \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{E}\,, \tag{2.107}$$

and equipped with the subspace topology and the projection map $\mathrm{pr}_1 : f^*\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{N}$ given by the projection onto the first factor

$$\mathrm{pr}_1(q, e) = q\,. \tag{2.108}$$

Notice that the following diagram commutes



where pr_2 is the projection on the second factor. If $(\mathcal{U}, \phi)$ is a local trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$, then $\left(f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}), \psi\right)$ is a local trivialization of $f^*\mathcal{E}$ where

$$\psi(q, e) = (q, \mathrm{pr}_2(\phi(e))) , \qquad \forall\ (q, e)\ \in f^*\mathcal{E} . \tag{2.109}$$

Therefore, the fibre at a point $q \in \mathcal{N}$ is given by

$$(f^*\mathcal{E})_q = \mathcal{E}_{f(q)} . \tag{2.110}$$

A section $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ induces a section $f^*\sigma \in \Gamma(f^*\mathcal{E})$ defined by $f^*\sigma = \sigma \circ f$.

Example 2.3.5. As an example of pull-back of a vector bundle we are going to consider the pull-back of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$ of a differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a differentiable manifold and let

$$f : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M} \tag{2.111}$$

be a map. The pull-back bundle is defined as follows

$$f^*T\mathcal{M} = \{(q, e) \in \mathcal{N} \times T\mathcal{M} \mid f(q) = \pi(e)\} \subset \mathcal{N} \times T\mathcal{M} . \tag{2.112}$$

Notice that the following diagram commutes



Notice that in general $f^*T\mathcal{M}$ is not equal to $T\mathcal{N}$. Only when f is a diffeomorphism we have $f^*T\mathcal{M} \simeq T\mathcal{N}$.

2.4 Lie groups

Definition 2.4.1. A smooth manifold G is called a Lie group if it is also an abstract group such that the multiplication map $\cdot$ and the inverse map are C^∞ maps.

Definition 2.4.2. For a Lie group G and an element $g \in G$, the maps $L_g : G \to G$ and $R_g : G \to G$ are defined by

$$L_g(h) = g \cdot h , \qquad R_g(h) = h \cdot g , \qquad \forall h \in G . \tag{2.113}$$

Definition 2.4.3. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is called left-invariant if and only if $L_{g*}X = X$ for all $g \in G$. The set of left-invariant vectors is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{L}}(G)$. Similar considerations apply to right-invariant vectors.

$\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{L}}(G)$ is closed under the Lie bracket operation $[\cdot,\cdot] : G \times G \to G$. The set of left invariant vectors $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{L}}(G)$ equipped with the binary operation $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. Let us define now the adjoint representation of a Lie group. Given an element $g \in G$, we define the map C_g as follows

$$\begin{aligned} C_g : G &\to G \\ h &\mapsto ghg^{-1}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.114}$$

$C_g : G \to G$ is a Lie group automorphism called the conjugation map. The corresponding tangent map is given by

$$(dC_g)\,|_h : T_hG \to T_{ghg^{-1}}G\,. \tag{2.115}$$

Therefore, for each $g \in G$, $(dC_g)\,|_e : T_eG \to T_eG$ is an automorphism of the tangent space of G at the identity. In other words, $(dC_g)\,|_e$ is an automorphism of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G, which respects the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ and thus is a Lie-algebra endomorphism. We will call it the adjoint map $\mathrm{Ad}_g \equiv (dC_g)\,|_e : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$. We define also the map $C : g \to C_g$, which is a group homomorphism from G to $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$.

The map $\mathrm{Ad} : g \to \mathrm{Ad}_g$ is a Lie group homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ called the adjoint representation of G. Here $\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the group of linear Lie-algebra endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, Ad assigns to every element $g \in G$ an element of $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ and therefore is a representation of G on the vector space $\mathfrak{g}$. The adjoint representation ad of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be obtained from the adjoint representation of G as follows

$$\mathrm{ad} = d(\mathrm{Ad})\,. \tag{2.116}$$

One can show that $\mathrm{ad}(v)\,w = [v,w]\,,\ \forall\ v,w \in \mathfrak{g}$. We proceed now to define the left action of a Lie group G on a differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The right action is defined similarly.

Definition 2.4.4. A left action of a Lie group G on $\mathcal{M}$ is a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi :\ G &\to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \\ g &\mapsto \psi_g\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.117}$$

Definition 2.4.5. The evaluation map associated with an action $\psi : G \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} l_\psi :\ \mathcal{M} \times G &\to \mathcal{M} \\ (p,g) &\mapsto \psi_g(p)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.118}$$

The map $l : G \times G \to G$ given by $l(g,h) = L_g(h)$ is an example of left action of G onto itself. Given a left action $l : G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and a fixed point $p \in \mathcal{M}$, the isotropy group of p is defined to be

$$G_p = \{g \in G : gp = p\}\,, \tag{2.119}$$

which is a closed Lie subgroup of G.

Definition 2.4.6. Let $l : G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a left group action. If the map $P : G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ given by $(g,p) \to (l(g,p),p)$ is proper, the action is said to be proper.

Example 2.4.7. If v is a complete vector field on $\mathcal{M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho :\ \mathbb{R} &\to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \\ t &\mapsto \rho_t\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.120}$$

is a smooth action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\psi : G \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ be an action.

Definition 2.4.8. The orbit of G through $p \in \mathcal{M}$ is $O_p = \{\psi_g(p) \mid g \in G\}$.

Definition 2.4.9. An action $\psi : G \to \mathrm{Diff}(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be

- **transitive** if $O_p = \mathcal{M}\,,\ \ p \in \mathcal{M}$.
- **free** if G_p is trivial $\forall\ p \in \mathcal{M}$.
- **locally free** if G_p is discrete $\forall\ p \in \mathcal{M}$.

Let $\sim$ be the orbit equivalence relation on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by

$$p \sim q \ \Leftrightarrow\ p, q \in O_p\,. \tag{2.121}$$

The space of orbits $\mathcal{M}/\sim = \mathcal{M}/G$ is called the orbit space. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \mathcal{M} &\to \mathcal{M}/G \\ p &\mapsto G_p\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.122}$$

be the point orbit projection. Then $\mathcal{M}/G$ can be equipped with the weakest topology for which π is continuous, namely, $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}/G$ is open if and only if $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is open in $\mathcal{M}$.

2.5 Symplectic Geometry

Definition 2.5.1. Let V be a vector space. The pair (V, ω) is a symplectic vector space if $\omega \in \Lambda^2 V^*$ is non-degenerate, that is, if the kernel

$$\ker \omega \equiv \{v \in V \mid \omega(v, w) = 0\,,\ \forall w \in V\}\,, \tag{2.123}$$

is trivial.

Two symplectic vector spaces (V_1, ω_1) and (V_1, ω_1) are called *symplectomorphic* if there is an isomorphism $F : V_1 \to V_2$ that relates the symplectic structures, that is, $F^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$. Given a symplectic vector space (V, ω), the group of automorphisms that preserve ω is denoted by $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$, which is a Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Gl}(V)$, the group of automorphisms of V.

Example 2.5.2. The simplest and arguably most important example of symplectic vector space consists of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ equipped with the bilinear form ω given by

$$\omega(e_i, e_j) = 0\,, \quad \omega(f_i, f_j) = 0\,, \quad \omega(e_i, f_j) = -\omega(f_j, e_i) = \delta_{ij}\,. \tag{2.124}$$

ω is a symplectic structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. It can be easily seen that every symplectic vector space of dimension $2n$ is symplectomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega\right)$.

Another standard examples of symplectic vector space are the following

Example 2.5.3. Let E be a complex vector space of dimension n, equipped with a complex, positive definite inner product $h : E \times E \to \mathbb{C}$. Then E, taken as a real vector space, equipped with the bilinear form $\omega = \Im\mathrm{m}(h)$, is a symplectic vector space. The condition $h(v_1, v_2) = \overline{h(v_2, v_1)}$ translates into the antisymmetry of $\omega = \Im\mathrm{m}(h)$ as a real form on V.

Definition 2.5.4. A symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is a real manifold equipped with a smooth, point-wise non-degenerate , global section ω of $\Lambda^2 T^*\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, at every point $p \in \mathcal{M}$, $T_p\mathcal{M}$ is a symplectic vector space equipped with the symplectic form $\omega|_p$.

Given two symplectic manifolds (M_1, ω_1) and (M_2, ω_2), a symplectomorphism is a diffeomorphism $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ such that $F^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$. The group of symplectomorphisms of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) onto itself is denoted by $\mathrm{Symp}(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. The non-degeneracy of ω has very important consequences, for instance

- Every symplectic manifold is orientable, with volume form given by the Liouville form

$$\Lambda_L = \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \neq 0\,, \tag{2.125}$$

  where $2n$ is the dimension of $\mathcal{M}$.

- There exists an isomorphism between the vector fields and one-forms on $\mathcal{M}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega} : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) &\to \Omega(\mathcal{M}) \\ v &\mapsto \iota_v \omega\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.126}$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of all vector fields in $\mathcal{M}$ that preserves ω, that is

$$\mathcal{L}_v \omega = d\iota_v\omega + \iota_v d\omega = d\iota_v\omega = 0\,, \tag{2.127}$$

where we have used (2.96). An element of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})$ is called a symplectic vector field, and generates symplectomorphisms through the corresponding flow 2.2.3. As already mentioned, due to the non-degeneracy of ω, for every one-form $\xi \in \Omega(\mathcal{M})$ there exists a unique vector field $v \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\iota_v \omega = \xi\,. \tag{2.128}$$

In particular, for any function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ there exists a unique vector field $v_f \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\iota_{v_f}\omega = -df\,. \tag{2.129}$$

ι_{v_f} is the so-called Hamiltonian vector field associated to f. The space of vector fields satisfying equation (2.129) for some function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$, the space of hamiltonian vector fields.

Proposition 2.5.5. *Every Hamiltonian vector field is a symplectic vector field. That is*

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})\,. \tag{2.130}$$

Proof. Given a Hamiltonian vector field v_f respect to some function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, we have, using Cartan's identity (2.96), $\mathcal{L}_{v_f}\omega = d^2 f = 0$. □

Therefore, from Eq. (2.127) and (2.129) respectively, we see that $\iota_v\omega$ is closed for a symplectic vector field and exact for a hamiltonian vector field. Restricting $\tilde{\omega}$ in Eq. (2.126) to the set of hamiltonian vector fields $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ we obtain a new isomorphism

$$\omega|_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}} : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \to B^1(\mathcal{M})\,, \tag{2.131}$$

where $B^1(\mathcal{M}) = \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \operatorname{Im} d$ is the space of exact one-forms. Similarly, restricting $\tilde{\omega}$ to the set of symplectic vector fields $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})$ we obtain another isomorphism

$$\omega|_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}} : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \to Z^1(\mathcal{M})\,, \tag{2.132}$$

where $Z^1(\mathcal{M}) = \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \cap \operatorname{Ker} d$ is now the space of closed one-forms. Therefore, the quotient of symplectic and hamiltonian vector fields is just the first de Rham cohomology group

$$H^1(\mathcal{M}) = \frac{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})}{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})}\,. \tag{2.133}$$

Therefore, the following exact sequence of vector spaces holds

$$0 \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M}) \to H^1(\mathcal{M}) \to 0\,. \tag{2.134}$$

Hence, if $H^1(\mathcal{M}) = 0$, every symplectic vector field is Hamiltonian.

Proposition 2.5.6. *Given two symplectic vector fields $v_{f_1}, v_{f_2} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})$ we have that $[v_{f_1}, v_{f_2}]$ is Hamiltonian, with Hamiltonian function $\omega(v_{f_1}, v_{f_2})$.*

Proof. Let $v_{f_1}, v_{f_2} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})$. Then we have

$$d\omega(v_{f_1}, v_{f_2}) = di_{v_{f_2}} i_{v_{f_1}}\omega = \mathcal{L}_{v_{f_2}} i_{v_{f_1}}\omega - i_{v_{f_2}} di_{v_{f_1}}\omega = i_{\mathcal{L}_{v_{f_2}} v_{f_1}}\omega = -i_{[v_{f_1}, v_{f_2}]}\omega\,. \tag{2.135}$$

□

Therefore, $[\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M}), \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})] \subseteq \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$, and in particular $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ is an ideal in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Sym}}(\mathcal{M})$, and the quotient Lie algebra is abelian. Hence, 2.134 is an exact sequence of Lie algebras, where $H^1(\mathcal{M})$ carries the trivial Lie algebra structure.

Consider now the following surjective map

$$\begin{aligned} h : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) &\to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \\ f &\mapsto v_f\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.136}$$

The kernel of h is the space $Z^0(\mathcal{M}) = H^0(\mathcal{M})$ of locally constant functions. Therefore, we can write the following exact sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \to Z^0(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \to 0\,. \tag{2.137}$$

It is possible to define a Lie algebra structure on $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ such that (2.137) is an exact sequence of Lie algebras.

Definition 2.5.7. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be a symplectic manifold. The Poisson bracket of two funcions $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is defined as

$$\{f, g\} = \omega(v_f, v_g)\,. \tag{2.138}$$

The Poisson bracket is anti-symmetric. Using Cartan's identity (2.96), the Poisson bracket can be rewritten as follows

$$\{f, g\} = \mathcal{L}_{v_f} g = -\mathcal{L}_{v_g} f\,. \tag{2.139}$$

Therefore, if $\{f, g\} = 0$, then f is constant along solution curves of v_g and vice-versa.

Proposition 2.5.8. *The Poisson bracket defines a Lie algebra structure into $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) &\to \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}) \\ f &\mapsto v_f\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.140}$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism, that is

$$v_{\{f,g\}} = [v_f, v_g]\,. \tag{2.141}$$

Proof. We have to prove that the Poisson bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity. This follows from

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \mathcal{L}_{v_f}\{g, h\} = \omega([v_f, v_g], v_h) + \omega(v_g, [v_f, v_h]) = \omega\left(v_{\{f,g\}}, v_h\right) + \omega\left(v_g, v_{\{f,g\}}\right) = \{h, \{f, g\}\} + \{g, \{f, h\}\}\,. \tag{2.142}$$

Equation (2.141) is a particular instance of proposition 2.5.6. □

Proposition 2.5.9. *The algebra $(C^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a Poisson algebra*[15].

[15] See definition 2.1.14.

Proof. We have to proof that the Poisson bracket satisfies equation (2.36). Indeed we have

$$\{fg,h\} = -\mathcal{L}_{v_h}(fg) = -\mathcal{L}_{v_h}(f)g - f\mathcal{L}_{v_h}(g) = -\{f,h\}\,g - f\,\{g,h\}\ . \tag{2.143}$$

□

2.5.1 Moment maps

Example 2.4.7 showed that the group of diffeomorphisms $\{\rho_t : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}\,,\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ generated by a vector field $v \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ can be understood as an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{R} &\to \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{M}) \\ t &\to \rho_t\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.144}$$

The action $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be symplectic if it acts on a Symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ preserving the symplectic form ω

$$\rho_t^*\omega = \omega\,. \tag{2.145}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_v\omega = d\,(\iota_v\omega) = 0\,, \tag{2.146}$$

where $v \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ generates the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms $\{\rho_t : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}\,,\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Therefore, if the action is symplectic, then $(\iota_v\omega)$ is a closed one-form. If, in addition, if $(\iota_v\omega)$ is exact, then the action is said to be Hamiltonian. In that case, there exist a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_v\omega = -df\,. \tag{2.147}$$

f is of course the Hamiltonian function associated to v, and therefore we can write $v = v_f$. The moment map construction generalizes the concept of the Hamiltonian action of $\mathbb{R}$ on a symplectic manifold to a general Lie group G acting on a symplectic manifold.

Definition 2.5.10. Let $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ be a symplectic manifold and G a connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the dual vector space of $\mathfrak{g}$ and assume that there exists a symplectic action of G on $\mathcal{M}$

$$\psi : G \to \mathrm{Symp}\,(\mathcal{M},\omega)\ . \tag{2.148}$$

Then the action is said to be Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{g}^*\,, \tag{2.149}$$

satisfying

1. For each $v \in \mathfrak{g}$, let

   - $\mu^v : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $\mu^v(p) \equiv < \mu(p), v >$, where $< \cdot,\cdot >$ is the natural pairing of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^*$.
   - $\tilde{v}$ be the vector field generated by the one-parameter subgroup $\left\{e^{tv} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subseteq G$.

   Then

   $$d\mu^v = -\iota_{\tilde{v}}\omega\,, \tag{2.150}$$

   that is, μ^v is a Hamiltonian function for the vector field $\tilde{v}$.

2. μ is equivariant with respect to the given action ψ and the coadjoint action Ad^* of G on $\mathfrak{g}^*$

   $$\mu \circ \psi_g = \mathrm{Ad}^*_g \circ \mu\,, \qquad g \in G\,. \tag{2.151}$$

$(\mathcal{M}, \omega, G, \mu)$ is then called a Hamiltonian G-space with momenp map μ. For connected Lie groups, Hamiltonian actions can be equivalently defined in terms of the so-called comoment map

$$\mu^* : \mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) , \tag{2.152}$$

1. $\mu^*(v) \equiv \mu^v$ is a Hamiltonian function for the vector field $\tilde{v}$.
2. μ^* is a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\mu^*[v, w] = \{\mu^*(v), \mu^*(w)\} , \tag{2.153}$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

Example 2.5.11. **Case $G = S^1$.** Here $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathbb{R}$. A moment map $\mu : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

1. For the generator $v = 1$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ we have $\mu^v(p) = \mu(p) \cdot 1$, that is, $\mu^v = \mu$ and $\tilde{v}$ is the standard vector field $\mathcal{M}$ generated by S^1. Then $d\mu = -\iota_{\tilde{v}}\omega$.
2. μ is invariant $\mathcal{L}_v \mu = 0$.

Chapter 3

L_∞-algebras

In this section we review L_∞-algebras and explicitly describe general L_∞-morphisms. An L_∞-algebra structure on graded vector space L can be defined to be a collection of skew-symmetric maps $\{l_k \colon L^{\otimes k} \to L\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $|l|_k = k-2$ which satisfy a rather complicated generalization of the Jacobi identity. We will therefore start with a more elegant description, given in terms of coalgebras, and prove its equivalence to the previous characterization.

3.1 Basic definitions

Definition 3.1.1. An $L_\infty[1]$-structure on a graded vector space M is a choice of degree one codifferential Q on the coalgebra

$$C(M) = \bar{S}(M)\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.1.2. *An $L_\infty[1]$-structure on a graded vector space M, that is, a choice of degree one codiferential Q on $\bar{S}(M)$, uniquely determines a family of degree one linear maps*

$$\big(m_k : \bar{S}^k(M) \to M\big)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}\,, \tag{3.2}$$

such that

$$\sum_{r+s=k}\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(r,s)} \epsilon(\sigma) m_{(s+1)}\left(m_r\left(x_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{\sigma(r)}\right)\otimes x_{\sigma(r+1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{\sigma(k)}\right) = 0\,. \tag{3.3}$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma; x_1,\dots,x_r)$ and $x_1,\dots,x_k \in M$. Conversely, any such family $(m_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ of degree one linear maps uniquely determines a degree one codifferential Q on $\bar{S}(M)$.

Proof. Given a codifferential Q on $\bar{S}(M)$, consider the restrictions

$$Q_k = Q|_{\bar{S}^k(M)} \colon \bar{S}^k(M) \to \bar{S}(M), \quad 1 \le k < \infty\,, \tag{3.4}$$

so that $Q = \sum_k^\infty Q_k$, and also the projections

$$Q_m^k = \mathrm{pr}_{\bar{S}^k(M)} \circ Q_m \colon \bar{S}^m(M) \to \bar{S}^k(M)\,. \tag{3.5}$$

It follows from proposition 2.1.26 that Q can be uniquely determined by the collection of maps

$$Q_k^1 = \mathrm{pr}_M \circ Q_k \colon \bar{S}^k(M) \to M, \quad k \ge 1\,. \tag{3.6}$$

The complete coderivation Q can be written as

$$Q_m\left(x_1\odot\cdots\odot x_m\right) = Q_m^1\left(x_1\odot\cdots\odot x_m\right) + \tag{3.7}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(i,m-i)} \epsilon\left(\sigma\right) Q_i^1\left(x_{\sigma(1)}\odot\cdots\odot x_{\sigma(i)}\right)\odot x_{\sigma(i+1)}\odot\cdots\odot x_{\sigma(m)}\,, \tag{3.8}$$

for any $x_i \in M$. Defining now the maps $(m_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ as follows

$$\left(m_k = Q_k^1 : \bar{S}^k(M) \to M\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} , \tag{3.9}$$

the condition $Q \circ Q = 0$ is equivalent to the generalized Jacobi identity (3.3) for the collection $(m_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$. In particular, it implies that l_1 is degree one differential on L. On the other hand, let us assume that $\bar{S}(M)$ is equipped with set of degree one maps

$$\left(m_k : \bar{S}^k(M) \to M\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+} , \tag{3.10}$$

obeying equation (3.3). Taking the $(m_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ as the $\left(Q_k^1\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ components in Lemma 2.4 in [12] we conclude that there exists a unique codifferential Q in $\bar{S}(M)$ such that its $\left(Q_k^1\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ restrictions are given by the $(m_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ maps.

□

Hence, an $L_\infty[1]$-structure on a graded vector space M can be equivalently defined in terms of a degree one coderivation Q on $C(M)$ or in terms of a family of morphisms $\left(m_k : S^k(M) \to M\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ obeying (3.3). An L_∞-structure is related to an $L_\infty[1]$-structure by a degree shift in M. In particular, an L_∞-structure on a graded vector space L is nothing but an $L_\infty[1]$-structure on the graded vector space $M = s^{-1}L$.

Definition 3.1.3. An L_∞-structure on a graded vector space L is an $L_\infty[1]$-structure on the graded vector space $s^{-1}L$.

An equivalent, more practical, definition is the following

Definition 3.1.4 ([12])**.** An L_∞-algebra is a graded vector space L together with a collection

$$\left\{l_k \colon L^{\otimes k} \to L | 1 \leq k < \infty\right\} \tag{3.11}$$

of graded skew-symmetric linear maps with $|l_k| = 2 - k$ such that the following identity is satisfied for $1 \leq m < \infty$

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j=m+1\,,\\ \sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(i,m-i)}} (-1)^{\sigma}\, \epsilon(\sigma)\, (-1)^{i(j-1)}\, l_j\left(l_i(x_{\sigma(1)},\dots,x_{\sigma(i)}), x_{\sigma(i+1)},\dots,x_{\sigma(m)}\right) = 0\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Let us prove the equivalence of both definitions. If we consider an $L_\infty[1]$ structure on $s^{-1}L$ then condition (3.3) can be written as

$$\sum_{r+s=k}\sum_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Sh}(r,s)} \epsilon(\sigma) m_{(s+1)}\left(m_r\left(s^{-1}x_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes s^{-1}x_{\sigma(r)}\right)\otimes s^{-1}x_{\sigma(r+1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes s^{-1}x_{\sigma(k)}\right) = 0\,. \tag{3.13}$$

where $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon\left(\sigma; s^{-1}x_1,\dots,s^{-1}x_k\right)$ and $x_1,\dots,x_k \in L$. Using equation (2.29) it can be proven that

$$m_r\left(s^{-1}x_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes s^{-1}x_{\sigma(r)}\right) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^r (r-i)|x_{\sigma(i)}|} m_r \circ s^{-r}\left(x_{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x_{\sigma(r)}\right)\,. \tag{3.14}$$

Using now equation (3.14) together with the following commutative diagram



we obtain

$$m_r \circ s^{-r} \left(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(r)}\right) = s^{-1} \circ l_r \left(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(r)}\right) . \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m_{(s+1)} \left(m_r \left(s^{-1} x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1} x_{\sigma(r)}\right) \otimes s^{-1} x_{\sigma(r+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{-1} x_{\sigma(k)}\right) = \\ (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (r-i)|x_{\sigma(i)}| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-r+1} (k-r+1-i)|\tilde{x}_i|} s^{-1} \circ l_{(s+1)} \left(l_r \left(x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(r)}\right) \otimes x_{\sigma(r+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)}\right) , \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\tilde{x}_1 = l_r \left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(r)}\right) , \quad \tilde{x}_i = x_{\sigma(r-1+i)} , \quad 2 \leq i \leq k-r+1 . \tag{3.17}$$

Since

$$|l_r \left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(r)}\right)| = 2 - r + \sum_{i=1}^{r} |x_{\sigma(i)}| , \tag{3.18}$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-r+1} |\tilde{x}_i| = 2 - r + \sum_{i=1}^{k} |x_{\sigma(i)}| , \quad (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (r-i)|x_{\sigma(i)}| + \sum_{i=1}^{k-r+1} (k-r+1-i)|\tilde{x}_i|} = (-1)^{(k-r)r + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (k-i)|x_{\sigma(i)}|} . \tag{3.19}$$

Finally, using equation (2.34) together with equation (3.19) in equation (3.3), we obtain equation (3.12).

□

Therefore, any L_∞-algebra (L, l_k) corresponds to a certain kind of graded co-algebra $C(s^{-1}L)$ equipped with a co-derivation Q which satisfies the identity

$$Q \circ Q = 0 . \tag{3.20}$$

As we have seen, this identity is the origin of equation (3.12). It is easy to see that for small values of m that equation (3.12) is a *generalized Jacobi identity* for the multi-brackets $\{l_k\}$. For $k = 1$, it implies that the degree one linear map l_1 satisfies

$$l_1 \circ l_1 = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

and hence every L_∞-algebra (L, l_k) has an underlying cochain complex $(L, d = l_1)$. For $k = 2$ we have that $[\cdot, \cdot] = l_2$ is a degree zero linear map that satisfies

$$d\,[x_1, x_2] = [dx_1, x_2] + (-1)^{|x_1|} [x_1, dx_2] . \tag{3.22}$$

Hence l_2 can be interpreted as a bracket, which is skew symmetric

$$[x_1, x_2] = -(-1)^{|x_1||x_2|} [x_2, x_1] , \tag{3.23}$$

but does not satisfy the usual Jacobi identity.

Definition 3.1.5. A Lie n-algebra is a L_∞-algebra $(L, \{l_k\})$ such that the corresponding graded vector space L is concentrated in degrees $0, -1, \ldots, 1-n$.

Notice that if $(L, \{l_k\})$ is a Lie n-algebra, simply by degree counting then $l_k = 0$ for $k > n+1$. Therefore, a Lie 1-algebra is nothing but a Lie algebra.

3.2 L_∞-morphisms

The notion of L_∞-morphism will be essential in this work. We now give [12] a naive definition of what could be an $L_\infty - morphism$.

Definition 3.2.1. If (L^1, l_k^1) and (L^2, l_k^2) be L_∞-algebras then a degree zero linear map $f\colon L^1 \to L^2$ is a *strict L_∞-morphism* if and only if the following holds

$$l_k^2 \circ f^{\otimes k} = f \circ l_k^1 \quad \forall k \geq 1\,. \tag{3.24}$$

The definition above however does not reflect the higher structure which resides within the theory in a natural way. Actually, there is a better definition, see Remark 5.3 of [12], which uses the previously mentioned relationship between L_∞-algebras and differential graded coalgebras. This turns out to give to the collection of morphisms between two L_∞-algebras the structure of a simplicial set, see reference [54], which therefore permits to consider homotopies among morphisms, homotopies among homotopies *et cetera*. As we did when we defined L_∞-algebras, we define first a morphism of $L_\infty[1]$-algebras. The corresponding definition for L_∞-algebras can then be obtained by a degree shift in L.

Definition 3.2.2. An $L_\infty[1]$-morphism between $L_\infty[1]$-algebras (M^1, m_k^1) and $\left(M^2, m_k^2\right)$ is a morphism $F[1]\colon \left(C(M^1), Q^1\right) \to \left(C(M^2), Q^2\right)$ between the corresponding underlying differential graded coalgebras. $F[1]$ is thus a morphism between the graded coalgebras $C(M^1)$ and $C(M^2)$ such that

$$F[1] \circ Q^1 = Q^2 \circ F[1]\,. \tag{3.25}$$

As it turns out, an $L_\infty[1]$-morphism $F[1]$ between (M^1, m_k^1) and (M^2, m_k^2) corresponds to an infinite collection of symmetric, degree zero, 'structure maps'

$$F[1] = \left(f_k[1]\colon S^k\left(M^1\right) \to M^2 \quad 1 \leq k < \infty\right)\,, \tag{3.26}$$

and such that a given compatibility relation with the multi-brackets must be satisfied. More precisely, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.2.3. *Let (M^1, m_k^1) and (M^2, m_k^2) be $L_\infty[1]$-algebras. A morphism from (M^1, m_k^1) to (M^2, m_k^2) is a family of morphism*

$$F[1] = \left(f_k[1]\colon S^k\left(M^1\right) \to M^2 \quad 1 \leq k < \infty\right)\,, \tag{3.27}$$

such that

$$\sum_{r+s=k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Sh}(r,s)} \epsilon\left(\sigma; x_1, \ldots, x_k\right) f_{s+1}[1]\left(m_r\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(r)}\right), x_{\sigma(r+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(k)}\right) =$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_l=k} \sum_{\tau \in \Sigma_k} \frac{\epsilon\left(\tau; x_1, \ldots, x_k\right)}{l! j_1! \ldots j_l!} n_l\left(f_{j_1}[1]\left(x_{\sigma(\tilde{k}_1+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(\tilde{k}_1+j_1)}\right), \ldots, f_{j_l}[1]\left(x_{\sigma(\tilde{k}_l+1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(\tilde{k}_l+j_l)}\right)\right)\,, \tag{3.28}$$

where $\tilde{k}_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{k}_s = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} j_i\,,\ 1 < s \leq l$.

Proof. See chapter 2 of [55].

The corresponding notion of morphism of L_∞-algebras goes as follows

Definition 3.2.4. An L_∞-morphism F between L_∞-algebras (L^1, l_k^1) and $\left(L^2, l_k^2\right)$ is a morphism $F\colon \left(C(s^{-1}L^1), Q^1\right) \to \left(C(s^{-1}L^2), Q^2\right)$ between their corresponding differential graded coalgebras. F is hence a morphism between the graded coalgebras $C(s^{-1}L^1)$ and $C(s^{-1}L^2)$ such that

$$F \circ Q^1 = Q^2 \circ F\,. \tag{3.29}$$

As in the $L_\infty[1]$ case, the notion of L_∞-morphism corresponds to an infinite family of maps, which in this case are skew-symmetric structure maps

$$F = \left(f_k \colon \Lambda^k L^1 \to L^2 \quad 1 \leq k < \infty\right) , \tag{3.30}$$

where $|f_k| = 1 - k$. Here again the family of maps have to satisfy a somewhat complicated compatibility relation involving the multi-brackets. Such compatibility relation can be obtained from equation (3.28) by means of the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^k\left(L^1\right) & \xrightarrow{\quad f_k \quad} & L^2 \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle s^{-k}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle s^{-1}} \\ S^k\left(s^{-1}L^1\right) & \xrightarrow{\quad f[1]_k \quad} & s^{-1}L^2 \end{array}$$

by performing the corresponding degree shift in L^1 and L^2.

We see that, particular, the degree zero map f_1 is a morphism between the corresponding complexes (L^1, l_1^1) and (L^2, l_1^2)

$$f_1 \circ l_1^1 = l_1^2 \circ f_1 \, . \tag{3.31}$$

As it happens for $L_\infty[1]$-morphisms, the compatibility relation between the family of maps $(f_i)_{i\geq 1}$ and the multi-brackets precisely corresponds in the language of coalgebras to equation (3.29). It can be easily seen that strict morphisms as defined in 3.2.1 correspond to the case given by $f_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. L_∞-morphisms can be composed in the standard sense, and therefore it is possible to consider the category of L_∞-algebras without explicit use the higher structure present in L_∞-morphisms.

We define now the notion of L_∞-*quasi-isomorphism*. This definition naturally reflexts the homotopical structure that exists between morphisms.

Definition 3.2.5. Let $(f_k) \colon (L^1, l_k^1) \to (L^2, l_k^2)$ be an L_∞-algebra morphisim. Then we say that $(f_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is an L_∞-quasi-isomorphism if and only if the corresponding morphism of complexes

$$f_1 \colon (L^1, l_1^1) \to (L^2, l_1^2) \tag{3.32}$$

induces an isomorphism on the cohomology of the underlying complexes

$$H^\bullet(f_1) : H^\bullet\left(L^1\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet\left(L^2\right) \, . \tag{3.33}$$

3.2.1 Morphisms from Lie algebras to L_∞-algebras

Since it will be useful in chapter 4, we will consider L_∞-algebra morphisms whose sources are simply Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot])$. In that case the conditions that the components of the morphism must satisfy are extremely simplified and the resulting expression can be indeed used for practical purposes. We will assume also that the image (L, l_k) of the L_∞-algebra morphism is a Lie-n algebra such that

$$\forall\, i \geq 2 \qquad l_i\left(x_1, \ldots, x_i\right) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{i} |x_k| < 0 \, . \tag{3.34}$$

This is indeed the relevant case for Lie-n algebras arising from n-plectic manifolds, as we will see in chapter 4. The relevant proposition is then the following

Proposition 3.2.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot])$ be a Lie algebra and let (L, l_k) is a Lie n-algebra that satisfies the property* (3.34)*. Then a collection of n anti-symmetric maps*

$$f_m : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes m} \to L, \quad |f_m| = 1 - m, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n\,, \tag{3.35}$$

can be taken to be the components of an L_∞-morphism $(f_k)_{k\geq 1} : \mathfrak{g} \to L$ if and only if $\forall x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq m} (-1)^{i+j+1} f_{m-1}\left([x_i, x_j], x_1, \ldots, \widehat{x_i}, \ldots, \widehat{x_j}, \ldots, x_m\right) \tag{3.36}$$

$$= l_1 f_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) + l_m\left(f_1(x_1), \ldots, f_1(x_m)\right)\,. \tag{3.37}$$

for $2 \leq m \leq n$ and

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j+1} f_n\left([x_i, x_j], x_1, \ldots, \widehat{x_i}, \ldots, \widehat{x_j}, \ldots, x_{n+1}\right) = l_{n+1}\left(f_1(x_1), \ldots, f_1(x_{n+1})\right)\,. \tag{3.38}$$

Proof. See appendix A.5 of [13] □

Chapter 4

Multisymplectic Geometry

4.1 n-plectic manifolds

We will closely follow [13]. For more details about Multisymplectic Geometry the interested reader can consult [1–4, 10].

Definition 4.1.1. A differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be *n-plectic* or multisymplectic if it is equipped with an $(n+1)$-form $\omega \in \Omega^{n+1}(\mathcal{M})$ such that it is both closed

$$d\omega = 0\,, \tag{4.1}$$

and non-degenerate

$$\forall\, p \in \mathcal{M} \qquad \forall\, u \in T_p\mathcal{M}, \qquad \iota_u\omega = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0\,. \tag{4.2}$$

If ω is an n-plectic form on $\mathcal{M}$, then we call the pair $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ an n-plectic manifold. More generally, if ω is closed, but not necessarily non-degenerate, then we call $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ a pre-n-plectic manifold. We will only deal with n-plectic manifolds, although most of our results can be straightforwardly extended to the pre-n-plectic case.

Please notice that a 1-plectic manifold is simply a symplectic manifold.

Definition 4.1.2. Given two n-plectic manifolds $(\mathcal{M}_1,\omega_1)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_2,\omega_2)$, a diffeomorphism $F\colon \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is said to be a multisymplectic diffeomorphism if and only if $F^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$.

Definition 4.1.3. Given an n-plectic manifold $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$, an $(n-1)$-form $\beta \in \Omega^{n-1}(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be *Hamiltonian* if and only if there exists a vector field $u_\beta \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ such that

$$d\beta = -\iota_{u_\beta}\omega\,. \tag{4.3}$$

We say then that u_β is a *Hamiltonian vector field* corresponding to β. We respectively denote by $\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$, the set of Hamiltonian $(n-1)$-forms and the set of Hamiltonian vector fields on an n-plectic manifold, which are vector spaces. Note that, due to the non-degeneracy of ω, for every Hamiltonian form there is a unique Hamiltonian vector field associated.

Definition 4.1.4. A vector field u on a n-plectic manifold $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ is a *local Hamiltonian vector field* if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}_u\omega = 0\,, \tag{4.4}$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ the vector space of local Hamiltonian vector fields.

Please notice that equation (4.4) is equivalent to

$$di_v\omega = 0\,, \tag{4.5}$$

and therefore, for Hamiltonian vector fields $i_u\omega$ is an exact n-form while for locally Hamiltonian vector fields $i_u\omega$ is a closed n-form, which can be always locally written in terms of an exact form and therefore the name *local Hamiltonian vector field*. If $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ both definitions of course coincide.

Definition 4.1.5. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be a n-plectic manifold. Given $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$, we define then the *bracket* $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ to be the $(n-1)$-form given by

$$\{\alpha, \beta\} = \iota_{u_\beta}\iota_{u_\alpha}\omega\,, \tag{4.6}$$

where u_α and u_β respectively stand for the Hamiltonian vector fields for α and β.

Proposition 4.1.6. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be an n-plectic manifold and let $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ be local Hamiltonian vector fields. Then $[u_1, u_2]$ is a global Hamiltonian vector field with*

$$d\iota_{u_1\wedge u_2}\omega = -\iota_{[u_1,u_2]}\omega\,, \tag{4.7}$$

and thus $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. Let u_1, u_2 be locally Hamiltonian vector fields. Then by equation (2.100),

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_1}\iota_{u_2}\omega = \iota_{[u_1,u_2]}\omega\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Using now equation (2.99),

$$\mathcal{L}_{u_1}\iota_{u_2}\omega = \iota_{u_1}d\iota_{u_2}\omega + d\iota_{u_1}\iota_{u_2}\omega\,. \tag{4.9}$$

However $\iota_{u_1}d\iota_{u_2}\omega = 0$, since $d\iota_{u_2} = \mathcal{L}_{u_2} - \iota_{u_2}d$. □ □

Therefore, proposition4.1.6 implies for instance that if u_α and u_β are respectively Hamiltonian vector fields for α and β, then $[u_\alpha, u_\beta]$ is a Hamiltonian vector field for $\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Notice that the bracket defined in 4.1.5 is skew-symmetric but it fails to satisfy the Jacoby identity. In particular we have[1]

$$\{\alpha_1, \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3\}\} - \{\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \alpha_3\} - \{\alpha_2, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\}\} = -d\iota_{v_{\alpha_1}\wedge v_{\alpha_2}\wedge v_{\alpha_3}}\omega\,. \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore, the space $\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(M)$ of Hamiltonian forms equipped with the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is not a Lie algebra unless $n = 1$, which is the well-know symplectic case. Hence, we cannot straightforwardly extend the Poisson structure present in the set of functions on a symplectic manifold to the set of Hamiltonian forms on a multisymplectic manifold. However, equation (4.10) shows that $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ fails to satisfy the Jacobi identity by an exact form, which suggests the existence of an underlying n-Lie algebra structure of which $\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(M)$ would be part of. Roughly speaking, if we identify the interior product of k Hamiltonian vector fields with ω as l_k acting on the corresponding k Hamiltonian forms, then equation 4.10 is the condition that l_2 and l_3 have to obey if they are part of an Lie-n algebra.

We present now a theorem that gives a natural L_∞-structure, in particular a n-Lie algebra structure, on differential forms, extending the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ on $\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$. See theorem 5.2 in [11] and theorem 6.7 in [56]. A detailed exposition can be found in [10].

Theorem 4.1.7. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be an n-plectic manifold . Then there exists a Lie n-algebra $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \omega) = (L, \{l_k\})$ with underlying graded vector space*

$$L^i = \begin{cases} \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) & k = 0, \\ \Omega^{n-1+k}(\mathcal{M}) & 1-n \leq k < 0\,, \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

and maps $\left\{l_k : L^{\otimes k} \to L | 1 \leq k < \infty\right\}$ defined as follows

$$l_1(\beta) = d\beta\,, \tag{4.12}$$

if $|\beta| < 0$ and

[1] See proposition 3.5 in reference [10].

$$l_k(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_k)=\begin{cases}\xi(k)\iota(u_{\beta_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge u_{\beta_k})\omega & \text{if } |\beta_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\beta_k|=0,\\ 0 & \text{if } |\beta_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\beta_k|<0,\end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

for $k>1$, where u_{β_i} is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to $\beta_i\in\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\xi(k)=-(-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$.

We can extend definition 4.1.5 and define a k-ary bracket in $L(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ as follows

$$\{x_1,\ldots,x_k\}=l_k(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\,,\qquad x_1,\ldots,x_k\in L(\mathcal{M},\omega)\,. \tag{4.14}$$

Please notice that in the $n=1$ case, the underlying complex is simply the vector space of Hamiltonian functions $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. The only non-zero bracket is therefore $l_2=\{\cdot,\cdot\}$, which is simply a Lie bracket. We thus recover the Lie algebra which underlies the usual Poisson algebra that can be constructed for symplectic manifold. As explained in section 2.5, in that case there is a well-defined surjective Lie algebra morphism

$$\pi: C^\infty(\mathcal{M})\twoheadrightarrow\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\,, \tag{4.15}$$

which send a function to its (unique) Hamiltonian vector field. If $\mathcal{M}$ is connected, it can be shown that π fits in the following short exact sequence

$$0\to\mathbb{R}\to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})\xrightarrow{\pi}\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\to 0\,. \tag{4.16}$$

Equation (4.16) is the so-called Kostant-Souriau central extension, see references [57, 58]. The Kostant-Souriau central extension characterizes,up to isomorphism, the Lie algebra of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ as follows: it is the central extension, which can be shown to be unique, given by the symplectic form, evaluated at $p\in\mathcal{M}$. The higher analog of the central extension (4.16) is given by the cochain map

$$\pi: L(\mathcal{M},\omega)\twoheadrightarrow\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\,, \tag{4.17}$$

which is trivial en all degrees but zero. In degree zero it assigns to every Hamiltonian form α its unique Hamiltonian vector field. The map π fits hence in the following short exact sequence

$$0\to\tilde{\Omega}\to L(\mathcal{M})\xrightarrow{\pi}\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\to 0\,. \tag{4.18}$$

Here $\tilde{\Omega}$ stands for the cocomplex

$$\tilde{\Omega}=C^\infty(\mathcal{M})\to\Omega^1(\mathcal{M})\to\cdots\to\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathcal{M})\,, \tag{4.19}$$

where $\Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathcal{M})$ is the set of closed $(n-1)$-forms in $\mathcal{M}$ and the coboundary operator is the de Rahm differential.

4.1.1 Homotopy moment maps

As usual G denotes a Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. We will consider only actions ψ from the left. That is, G acts on $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ from the left through the inverse pullback

$$g\cdot\omega\mapsto\psi^*_{g^{-1}}\omega\,, \tag{4.20}$$

where ψ_g is the diffeomorphism that corresponds to g. The corresponding infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is denoted by the map

$$u_-:\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})\,,\quad y\mapsto u_y\,, \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$u_y|_p=\frac{d}{dt}\exp(-ty)\cdot p|_{t=0}\qquad\forall p\in\mathcal{M}\,. \tag{4.22}$$

We name u_- as the *fundamental vector field* which is associated to the G action.

In the context of symplectic geometry, we can express a moment map $\mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ also as a *comoment map*, namely a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$; see section 2.5 for more details. We will introduce in this section, closely following the seminal paper [13], the natural analog in multisymplectic geometry of the comoment map in symplectic geometry. It is the so-called *homotopy moment map*.

Let us just point out that a moment map μ is an equivariant $\mathfrak{g}^*$-valued smooth function on a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ which equipped with an action, namely $\mu \in (\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}))^G$. μ has to satisfy a particular condition respecto to ω. This notion can be also expressed as a comoment map, which is nothing but a Lie algebra map $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to (\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \{,\})$ from the Lie algebra of G, to the Poisson algebra that can be associated to the symplectic form.

Definition 4.1.8. Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be an n-plectic manifold which is equipped with a G-action preserving ω, and such that the $\mathfrak{g}$-action $x \mapsto u_x$ is through Hamiltonian vector fields. A *homotopy moment map* is the following lift



of the Lie algebra morphism u_- (4.21) by means of the L_∞-morphism π 4.17 in the category of L_∞-algebras. This lift corresponds to an L_∞-morphism

$$(f_k)_{k\geq 1} : \mathfrak{g} \to L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \omega) \ , \tag{4.23}$$

that satisfies

$$-\iota_{u_y}\omega = d(f_1(y)) \qquad \text{for all } y \in \mathfrak{g} \, . \tag{4.24}$$

Please notice that the condition $-\iota_{u_y}\omega = d(f_1(y))$ implies that u_y is the unique Hamiltonian vector field for $f_1(y) \in \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$. In addition, using proposition 3.2.6, we can rewrite the conditions on the components $(f_k)_{k\geq 1} : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k} \to L(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ of the L_∞-morphism as follows

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq k} (-1)^{i+j+1} f_{k-1}([y_i, y_j], y_1, \ldots, \widehat{y_i}, \ldots, \widehat{y_j}, \ldots, y_k) \tag{4.25}$$

$$= df_k((y_1, \ldots, y_k) + \xi(k)\iota(u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_k)\omega \, , \tag{4.26}$$

for $2 \leq k \leq n$ plus

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j+1} f_n([y_i, y_j], y_1, \ldots, \widehat{y_i}, \ldots, \widehat{y_j}, \ldots, y_{n+1}) = \xi(n+1)\iota(u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{n+1})\,\omega \, . \tag{4.27}$$

Here u_i stands for the vector field associated to y_i via the $\mathfrak{g}$-action. Please notice that the theorem 4.1.7 implies in particular that $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ satisfies 3.34.

Please notice also that proposition 4.1.6 implies in particular that $u_{[x,y]} = [u_x, u_y]$ is a Hamiltonian vector field for

$$\{f_1(x), f_1(y)\} = l_2(f_1(x), f_1(y)) \ . \tag{4.28}$$

In general, the map $f_1 : \mathfrak{g} \to \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ will not preserve the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$, *i.e.*, we will have

$$f_1([x,y]) \neq \{f_1(x), f_1(y)\} . \tag{4.29}$$

This is a nice property that should be expected, since the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity but $\{\cdot\cdot\}$ does not.

Definition 4.1.9. Let $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ be an n-plectic manifold. The action of a Lie group G on $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ is said to be Hamiltonian if an homotopy moment map for such action exists.

4.2 Multisymplectic diffeomorphisms and n-algebra morphisms

In this section we are going to study the relation between strict morphisms of L_∞-algebras and multisymplectic diffeomorphisms of the corresponding multisimplectic manifolds. That is, we want to know under which conditions, if any, we are able to conclude that a strict morphism of Lie n-algebras $\left\{L\left(M_a,\omega_a\right), l_k^a\right\}, a=1,2, k=1,\cdots,n+1$, *induces* a multisymplectic diffeomorphism between the corresponding n-plectic manifolds (M_a,ω_a). We know that in the symplectic case the answer is positive: two symplectic manifolds with corresponding isomorphic Poisson algebras are symplectomorphic. We will see that in the n-plectic case the answer is also positive, at least for a special class of n-plectic manifolds, those which are *locally homogeneous* with respect to the multisymplectic form. Hence, at least in those cases, the L_∞-algebra constructed on a multisymplectic manifold is powerful enough to contain important information about the manifold itself and its differential structure.

Let $\phi : L\left(M_2,\omega_2\right) \to L\left(M_1,\omega_1\right)$ be an strict Lie n-algebra morphism and let us write $\phi = (\phi_{n-1},\ldots,\phi_0)$, where $\phi_i : L_i\left(M_2,\omega_2\right) \to L_i\left(M_1,\omega_1\right)$, $i = 1-n,\ldots,0$[2].

In order to relate strict Lie n-algebra morphisms and multisymplectic diffeomorphisms, we need first the existence of ϕ to imply the existence of a diffeomorphism $F : M_1 \to M_2$, which then must be checked to be a multisymplectic diffeomorphism. This is easily achieved by making use of the following lemma

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}_a, a=1,2$, be differentiable manifolds and $\psi : \left(C^\infty\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right),\cdot\right) \to \left(C^\infty\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right),\cdot\right)$ an algebra morphism, where $\cdot$ denotes the usual multiplication of functions. Then $\psi = F^*$, where $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is a smooth map. In addition, if ψ is an algebra isomorphism then F is a diffeomorphism.*

Proof. See theorem 4.2.36 in reference [50].

Hence, assuming that $\phi_{1-n} : C^\infty\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) \to C^\infty\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right)$ is an algebra isomorphism from $\left\{C^\infty\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right),\cdot\right\}$ to $\left\{C^\infty\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right),\cdot\right\}$, we can conclude the existence of a diffeomorphism F from $\mathcal{M}_1$ such that $\mathcal{M}_2$ and

$$\phi_{n-1} = F^* . \tag{4.30}$$

Since ϕ is an strict Lie n-algebra morphism, it preserves the maps l_k^a, $k=1,\ldots,n+1$ of the corresponding Lie n-algebra[3]

$$d_2 \circ \phi = \phi \circ d_1 \tag{4.31}$$

$$\phi_{2-k} \circ l_k^2\left(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_k\right) = l_k^1\left(\phi_0\circ\alpha_1,\ldots,\phi_0\circ\alpha_k\right), \quad \forall\ \alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k \in \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right), \quad k=2,\ldots,n+1 . \tag{4.32}$$

Using now the definition (4.14) of the L_∞-algebra maps l_k, as well as (4.30), we obtain

$$F^*\left\{\alpha_1^2,\cdots,\alpha_{n+1}^2\right\}_2 = \left\{\phi_0\circ\alpha_1^2,\cdots,\phi_0\circ\alpha_{n+1}^2\right\}_1, \quad \forall\ \alpha_1^2,\ldots,\alpha_{n+1}^2 \in \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) . \tag{4.33}$$

Further assuming that $\phi_i = F^*$, $i = 1-n,\ldots,0$, it can be proven that the initial set-up consisting of two n-plectic manifolds and a strict Lie-n algebra morphism ϕ is equivalent, in a precise sense to be specified in a moment, to considering a unique manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with two n-plectic structures ω_1 and ω_2 such as $l_k^1 = l_k^2$, $k = 1,\cdots,n+1$. Notice that the condition $\phi_0 = F^*$ is non-trivial, since for arbitrary diffeomorphisms we would have

$$F^* : \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) \to \Omega^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right), \tag{4.34}$$

[2]For the precise definition of strict morphism of L_∞-algebras see 3.2.

[3]Notice that $l_1^a = d_a$.

and we are requiring

$$F^* : \Omega^{n-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \to \Omega^{n-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \ . \tag{4.35}$$

We will assume then that the Lie-n algebra morphism is given by $\phi = F^*$, where $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is a diffeomorphism, and conclude then that F must be a multisymplectomorphism by studying the equivalent case of a unique manifold $\mathcal{M}_1$ equipped with two multisimplectic structures (ω_1, ω_2), such that the corresponding Lie-n algebras are equal. Let us first prove the equivalence of both cases.

Proposition 4.2.2. *Let $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$, $a = 1, 2$, be n-plectic manifolds, $\{L(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a), l^a_k\}$ denote the corresponding Lie n-algebras and $\phi : \{L(\mathcal{M}_2, \omega_2), l^2_k\} \to \{L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1), l^1_k\}$ a strict Lie n-algebra morphism such that*

$$\phi_i = F^* : L_i(M_2, \omega_2) \to L_i(M_1, \omega_1) \ , \ i = 1 - n, \ldots, 0 , \tag{4.36}$$

where $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is a diffeomorphism. Then, F is a multisymplectic diffeomorphism if and only if $\{L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1), l^1_k\} = \left\{L(\mathcal{M}_1, \tilde{\omega}_1 \equiv F^*\omega_2), \tilde{l}^1_k\right\}$ implies $\omega_1 = \tilde{\omega}_1$.

Proof. If $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is a multisymplectomorphism, then $F^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$ and therefore $\{L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1), l^1_k\} = \left\{L(\mathcal{M}_1, \tilde{\omega}_1), \tilde{l}^1_k\right\}$ since $\tilde{\omega}_1 = \omega_1$, which in turn implies $\tilde{l}^1_k = l^1_k$, $k = 1, \cdots, n+1$.

On the other hand, if $\{L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1), l^1_k\} = \left\{L(\mathcal{M}_1, \tilde{\omega}_1 \equiv F^*\omega_2), \tilde{l}^1_k\right\}$ implies $\omega_1 = \tilde{\omega}_1$., then $\omega_1 = F^*\omega_2$ and therefore F is a multisymplectomorphism. □

In other words, proposition 4.2.2 simply states that the following diagram of strict-isomorphisms of L_∞-algebras commutes



Therefore, we will consider the equivalent situation of a unique manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with two multisymplectic structures ω_1 and ω_2. Before proving the main result of this section, namely theorem (4.2.8), it is necessary to introduce the concept of *locally homogeneous manifold* and the lemma (4.2.6) [2].

Definition 4.2.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a differentiable manifold. Consider $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and a compact set $K \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $p \in K^\circ$[4]. A local Liouville or local Euler-like vector field at p, with respect to K, is a vector field Δ^p on $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\text{supp}\Delta^p \equiv \overline{\{q \in \mathcal{M} | \Delta^p(q) \neq 0\}} \subset K$, and there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi : (\text{supp}\Delta^p)^\circ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi_*\Delta^p = \Delta$, where $\Delta = x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ is the standard Liouville or dilation vector field in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 4.2.4. A differential form $\omega \in \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{(n+1)}(T^*\mathcal{M})\right)$ is said to be *locally homogeneous* at $p \in \mathcal{M}$ if, for every open set U containing p, there exists a local Euler-like vector field Δ^p at p with respect to a compact set $K \subset U$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Delta^p}\omega = f\omega , \qquad f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \ . \tag{4.37}$$

The form is said to be locally homogeneous if it is locally homogeneous for all $p \in \mathcal{M}$.

Obviously, out of $\text{supp}\Delta^p$, the function f vanishes. A couple $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ where ω is locally homogeneous is called a locally homogeneous manifold. As examples of homogeneous n-plectic manifolds we can find symplectic, manifolds and multicotangent bundles and in fact any oriented manifold equipped with its volume form.

Proposition 4.2.5. *Every 1-plectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is locally homogeneous with $f = 2$.*

[4] K° denotes the interior of K.

Proof. The following lemmas[5] will play an important role in the proof of theorem (4.2.8)

Lemma 4.2.6. *Let $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ be a locally homogeneous n-plectic manifold. Then, the family of hamiltonian vector fields span the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{M}$. That is*

$$T_p\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{span}\left\{ v_p \,|\, v \in \Gamma\left(T\mathcal{M}\right)\ ,\ i_v\omega = d\alpha_v\ ,\ \alpha_v \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \right\}\ . \tag{4.38}$$

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ be a locally homogeneous n-plectic manifold, and let $v_p \in T_p\mathcal{M}$ be any vector at $p \in \mathcal{M}$. Let U be a contractible open neighborhood of p, which can be shrink in order to be contained in a coordinate chart $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$, with coordinates ϕ_α. In lemma 4.5 of reference [2], it was proven the existence of a vector field v_U on U, such that $\operatorname{supp} v_U \subset U$ is compact, $v_U|_p = v_p$ and

$$d\iota_{v_U}\omega = 0\,, \tag{4.39}$$

that is, $\iota_{v_U}\omega$ is closed. v_U can be extended trivially to all $\mathcal{M}$ by defining a vector field $v \in \Gamma\left(T\mathcal{M}\right)$ as follows

$$v|_p \quad = \quad v_U|_p\,,\ p \in \operatorname{supp} v_U\ ; \qquad v|_p = 0\,,\ p \notin \operatorname{supp} v_U\,, \tag{4.40}$$

We will prove now that v is a Hamiltonian vector field on $\mathcal{M}$. $\iota_{v_U}\omega$ is a closed n-form with compact support in U, that is $[\iota_{v_U}]\,\omega \in H^n_C\left(U\right)$. In addition, we can choose $U \subset \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ such that

$$U \simeq \mathbb{R}^d\,, \qquad d = \dim\mathcal{M}\,. \tag{4.41}$$

Therefore $H^n_C\left(U\right) \simeq H^n_C\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ where $H^n_C\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ denotes the n-th compactly supported cohomology group of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Noticing that $n < d$ we conclude that

$$H^n_C\left(U\right) \simeq H^n_C\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) \simeq \{0\}\ . \tag{4.42}$$

Hence, there exist a $(n-1)$-form $\alpha_U \in \Omega^{n-1}\left(U\right)$ with compact support contained in U such that

$$\iota_{v_U}\omega = d\alpha_U\ . \tag{4.43}$$

Now, extending α_U trivially to a $(n-1)$-form $\alpha \in \Omega^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{M}\right)$ as follows

$$\alpha|_p = \alpha_U|_p\,,\ p \in \operatorname{supp}\alpha_U\ ; \qquad \alpha|_p = 0\,,\ p \notin \operatorname{supp}\alpha_U\,, \tag{4.44}$$

we see that

$$\iota_v\omega = d\alpha\,. \tag{4.45}$$

Therefore, v is a Hamiltonian vector field in $\mathcal{M}$ that can be build as to give any vector $v|_p = v_p$ at $p \in \mathcal{M}$. We conclude then that $T_p\mathcal{M}$ is generated by Hamiltonian vector fields on $\mathcal{M}$ evaluated at $p \in \mathcal{M}$. □

Lemma 4.2.7. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a multisymplectic manifold equipped with two n-plectic structures ω_1 and ω_2 such that the corresponding Lie-n algebras are equal, namely $L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega_2\right) = L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega_1\right)$. Let us assume that at least one of the n-plectic structures, say ω_1, is locally homogeneous. Then*

$$v^1_\alpha = v^2_\alpha\,, \qquad \forall\ \alpha \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right)\ , \tag{4.46}$$

where v^a_α, $a = 1,2$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of α respect to ω_a, that is

$$d\alpha = \iota_{v^a_\alpha}\omega_a\,, \qquad a = 1,2\,. \tag{4.47}$$

[5] Lemma (4.2.6) is actually is a small generalization of lemma 4.5 in [2].

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ and let v^a_α the Hamiltonian vector of α respect to ω_a. Since by assumption $L(\mathcal{M},\omega_2) = L(\mathcal{M},\omega_1)$, we can write

$$l^1_2(\alpha,\beta) = l^2_2(\alpha,\beta)\ , \qquad \forall\ \alpha,\beta \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\ , \tag{4.48}$$

which, in turn, implies

$$\omega_1\left(v^1_\alpha, v^1_\beta, \dots\right) = \omega_2\left(v^2_\alpha, v^2_\beta, \dots\right)\ , \qquad \forall\ \alpha,\beta \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\ , \tag{4.49}$$

where v^a_α is the Hamiltonian vector field of α associated to ω_a. Using now that

$$d\alpha = -\iota_{v^1_\alpha}\omega_1 = -\iota_{v^2_\alpha}\omega_2\,, \qquad \forall \alpha \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\ , \tag{4.50}$$

we can rewrite equation (4.49) as follows

$$\omega_1\left(v^1_\alpha, v^1_\beta, \dots\right) = \omega_1\left(v^1_\alpha, v^2_\beta, \dots\right)\ , \qquad \forall\ \alpha,\beta \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\ , \tag{4.51}$$

The non-degeneracy of ω_1 together with lemma 4.2.6 finally implies

$$v^1_\beta = v^2_\beta\,, \qquad \forall\ \beta \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\ . \tag{4.52}$$

□

Theorem 4.2.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a differentiable manifold equiped with two multisimplectic structures ω_1 and ω_2, such that at least one of them is locally homogeneous. Then $L(\mathcal{M},\omega_2) = L(\mathcal{M},\omega_1)$ if and only if $\omega_1 = \omega_2$.*

Proof. If $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ it is obvious that $L(\mathcal{M},\omega_2) = L(\mathcal{M},\omega_1)$. On the other hand, let us assume that $L(\mathcal{M},\omega_2) = L(\mathcal{M},\omega_1)$. In particular, the underlying complex and the multilinear brackets constructed from ω_1 and ω_2 must be equal. We can write then

$$l^1_{(n+1)}\left(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{(n+1)}\right) = l^2_{(n+1)}\left(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{(n+1)}\right)\ , \qquad \forall\ \alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{(n+1)} \in \Omega^{(n-1)}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\ , \tag{4.53}$$

and therefore

$$i_{v_{\alpha_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{\alpha_{(n+1)}}}\omega_1 = i_{v_{\alpha_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{\alpha_{(n+1)}}}\omega_2\,, \qquad \forall\ v_{\alpha_1},\dots,v_{\alpha_{(n+1)}} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})\ , \tag{4.54}$$

where we have used lemma 4.2.7 in order to use the same Hamiltonian vector fields for ω_1 and ω_2. Evaluating now 4.54 point-wise we obtain, using lemma 4.2.6

$$i_{v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{(n+1)}}\omega_1|_p = i_{v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{(n+1)}}\omega_2|_p\,, \qquad \forall\ v_1|_p,\dots,v_{(n+1)}|_p \in T_p(\mathcal{M})\ . \tag{4.55}$$

We conclude hence that $\omega_1|_p = \omega_2|_p$ for all $p \in \mathcal{M}$ and therefore $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. □

From theorem 4.2.8 and propostion 4.2.2 we immediately conclude the final result of this section, namely

Theorem 4.2.9. *Let $(\mathcal{M}_a,\omega_a)$, $a = 1,2$, be locally homogeneous multisymplectic manifolds, let $\{L(M_a,\omega_a), l^a_k\}$ denote the corresponding L_∞ algebras and let $\phi : \{L(\mathcal{M}_2,\omega_2), l^2_k\} \to \{L(\mathcal{M}_1,\omega_1), l^1_k\}$ an strict L_∞-isomorphism such that*

$$\phi_i = F^* : L_i(\mathcal{M}_2,\omega_2) \to L_i(\mathcal{M}_1,\omega_1)\ ,\ i = 1-n,\dots,0\,, \tag{4.56}$$

where $F : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ is a diffeomorphism. Then, F is also a multisymplectic diffeomorphism, that is, $F^*\omega_2 = \omega_1$.

Proof. Direct consequence of proposition (4.2.2) and theorem (4.2.8). □

4.3 Product manifolds and Lie n-algebra morphisms

Consider two multisymplectic manifolds $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$, $a = 1, 2$, where ω_a is an n_a-plectic structure defined on $\mathcal{M}_a$. The goal of this section is, roughly speaking, to study the relation between the n_a-Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ constructed over $\mathcal{M}_a$ and the Lie-n algebra $L(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ constructed over the product manifold, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$, where $\omega = \mathrm{pr}_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^* \omega_2$, $n = n_1 + n_2 + 1$ and $\mathrm{pr}_a : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}_a$ is the canonical projection.

Finding such a relation is relevant for at least two reasons. First, it help us to understand how n-plectic Lie algebras are related to the corresponding multisymplectic manifolds in a deeper way, since it give us information about how they behave when some operation is performed in the manifold, in this case the cartesian product. Secondly, it is relevant in order to construct an homotopy moment map[6] for the product manifold, assuming the homotopy moment maps for $(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_2, \omega_2)$ exist. Indeed, let G_a be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_a$. Let $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ be a n_a-plectic manifold equipped with a G_a action which preserves ω_a and such that the infinitesimal $\mathfrak{g}_a$ action is via Hamiltonian fields. Let us assume that the corresponding homotopy moment maps exist and are given by $f_a : \mathfrak{g}_a \to L(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$. In that case, if $\mathrm{H} : L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1) \oplus L(\mathcal{M}_2, \omega_2) \to L(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is a L_∞-morphism, then the composition of $f_1 \oplus f_2$ and H is a very reasonable homotopy moment map candidate for the product manifold $G_1 \times G_2 \circlearrowright (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. To obtain H by brute force seems to be a very involved task to perform in general. It will turn out to be easier to directly construct an L_∞-morphism F from $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ to $(\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2, \omega)$, making use of f_a, which can also be used to make an educated guess for H, as it is illustrated by the following diagram



Since it will be useful in the following, let us remember that the tangent bundle of the product manifold $\mathcal{M}$ can be written as follows[7]

$$T\mathcal{M} = \mathrm{pr}_1^* T\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathrm{pr}_2^* T\mathcal{M}_2 \,, \tag{4.57}$$

and therefore

$$\Gamma(T\mathcal{M}) = \Gamma(\mathrm{pr}_1^* T\mathcal{M}_1) \oplus \Gamma(\mathrm{pr}_2^* T\mathcal{M}_2) \,. \tag{4.58}$$

In particular, it holds $\mathrm{pr}_a^* \Gamma(T\mathcal{M}_a) \subset \Gamma(\mathrm{pr}_a^* T\mathcal{M}_a) \subset \Gamma(T\mathcal{M})$. The first task is now to check that ω is indeed an n-plectic structure on $\mathcal{M}$, provided ω_a is an n_a-plectic structure on $\mathcal{M}_a$. It is straightforward to see that ω is closed

$$d\omega = \mathrm{pr}_1^* d\omega_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2 \omega_2 + (-1)^{n_1} \mathrm{pr}_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^* d\omega_2 = 0 \,. \tag{4.59}$$

To see that it is non-degenerate, let us assume that there exists a vector field $v \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ such that $i_v \omega = 0$. There exist then vector fields $v_a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}_a)$ such that

$$v = \mathrm{pr}_1^* v_1 + \mathrm{pr}_2^* v_2 \,, \tag{4.60}$$

and therefore

$$\iota_v \omega = \iota_v \mathrm{pr}_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^* \omega_2 + (-1)^{n_1 + 1} \mathrm{pr}_1^* \omega_1 \wedge \iota_v \mathrm{pr}_2^* \omega_2 \,, \tag{4.61}$$

implies $v_a = 0$ and $v = 0$ since ω_a is non-degenerate. Let us consider now $X_{\alpha_a} \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a) = \Gamma_{\mathrm{Ham}}(T\mathcal{M}_a)$ and construct the vector field

[6] See section 4.1.1.
[7] See section 2.3.

$$X_\alpha = \mathrm{pr}_1^* X_{\alpha_1} + \mathrm{pr}_2^* X_{\alpha_2} \,. \tag{4.62}$$

We have then

$$i_{X_\alpha}\omega = -d\left[\mathrm{pr}_1^*\alpha_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^*\omega + \mathrm{pr}_1^*\omega_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^*\alpha_2\right] = -d\alpha \,, \tag{4.63}$$

and hence X_α is a hamiltonian vector field for ω with hamiltonian (n_1+n_2)-form α, which is of course defined up to a closed form. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^*\Gamma_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(T\mathcal{M}_1\right) + \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Gamma_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(T\mathcal{M}_1\right) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(T\mathcal{M}\right) \,. \tag{4.64}$$

The following example shows that in general

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^*\Gamma_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(T\mathcal{M}_1\right) + \mathrm{pr}_2^*\Gamma_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(T\mathcal{M}_1\right) \neq \Gamma_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(T\mathcal{M}\right) \,. \tag{4.65}$$

Example 4.3.1. Let $\mathcal{M}_a = \mathbb{R}^2$ with coordinates (x_a^1, x_a^2) equipped with the volume form $\omega_a = f_a\, dx_a^1 \wedge dx_a^2$, where $f_a \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^2\right)$ is a no-where vanishing, non-constant, differentiable function. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^4 \,, \qquad \omega = f_1 f_2\, dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^4 \tag{4.66}$$

where the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^4$ are denoted by $(x^1, \ldots, x^4)$. Then

$$X_\alpha = -\frac{1}{f_1 f_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \,, \qquad \alpha = x^2 dx^3 \wedge dx^4 \,, \tag{4.67}$$

is a Hamiltonian vector field which cannot be written as a sum of Hamiltonian vector fields X_{α_a} of $(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega_a)$.

We are going to define now two applications h_Ω and $h_{\mathfrak{X}}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h_\Omega : \Omega^{n_1-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \Omega^{n_2-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) &\to \Omega^{n_1+n_2}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \\ \alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2 &\mapsto \mathrm{pr}_1^*\alpha_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^*\omega_2 + \mathrm{pr}_1^*\omega_1 \wedge \mathrm{pr}_2^*\alpha_2 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) &\to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \\ X_{\alpha_1} \oplus X_{\alpha_2} &\mapsto \mathrm{pr}_1^* X_{\alpha_1} + \mathrm{pr}_2^* X_{\alpha_2} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

which make the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{n_1-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \Omega^{n_2-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) & \xrightarrow{\quad h_\Omega \quad} & \Omega^{n_1+n_2}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \\ \Big\downarrow j & & \Big\downarrow k \\ \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) & \xrightarrow{\quad h_{\mathfrak{X}} \quad} & \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \end{array}$$

Here $j\left(\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2\right) = X_{\alpha_1} \oplus X_{\alpha_2}$ and $k(\alpha) = X_\alpha$. The vector space $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right)$ over the real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ can be endowed with an $\mathbb{R}$-linear Lie bracket

$$[\cdot,\cdot]_0 : \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) \to \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_1\right) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}_2\right) \,, \tag{4.70}$$

defined as

$$[X_{\alpha_1} \oplus X_{\alpha_2}, X_{\beta_1} \oplus X_{\beta_2}]_0 = [X_{\alpha_1}, X_{\beta_1}]_1 \oplus [X_{\alpha_2}, X_{\beta_2}]_2 \ , \tag{4.71}$$

where $[\cdot,\cdot]_a : \mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a) \oplus \mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a) \to \mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a)$ is the canonical Lie brackets defined on $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_a)$ and evaluated on $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a)$. It can be easily seen that h_{X} preserves the Lie bracket, that is

$$h_{\mathfrak{X}}\left([X_{\alpha_1} \oplus X_{\alpha_2}, X_{\beta_1} \oplus X_{\beta_2}]_0\right) = [h_{\mathfrak{X}}(X_{\alpha_1} \oplus X_{\beta_1}), h_{\mathfrak{X}}(X_{\alpha_2} \oplus X_{\beta_2})] \ . \tag{4.72}$$

Similarly, $\Omega^{n_1-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \oplus \Omega^{n_2-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_2)$ can be endowed with a bracket

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 : \Omega^{n_1-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \oplus \Omega^{n_2-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \oplus \Omega^{n_1-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \oplus \Omega^{n_2-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \to \Omega^{n_1-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \oplus \Omega^{n_2-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_2) \tag{4.73}$$

defined as follows

$$\{\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2, \beta_1 \oplus \beta_2\}_0 = \{\alpha_1, \beta_1\}_1 \oplus \{\alpha_2, \beta_2\}_2 \ , \tag{4.74}$$

where $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_a : \Omega^{n_a-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a) \oplus \Omega^{n_a-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a) \to \Omega^{n_a-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a)$ is the canonical Hamiltonian bracket defined on $\Omega^{n_a-1}_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}_a)$. However, in this case, h_Ω does not preserve the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$, namely

$$h_\Omega\left(\{\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2, \beta_1 \oplus \beta_2\}_0\right) = \{h_\Omega(\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2), h_\Omega(\beta_1 \oplus \beta_2)\} + (-1)^{n_1} d\left[\text{pr}_1^*\alpha_1 \wedge \text{pr}_2^* d\beta_2 - \text{pr}_1^*\beta_1 \wedge \text{pr}_2^* d\alpha_2\right] \ . \tag{4.75}$$

As we have previously stated, the goal of this section is to relate the n_a-Lie algebras $L(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ constructued over $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ to the $(n_1 + n_2 + 1)$-Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ constructed over $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. More precisely, we want to construct an Lie-n algebra morphism from $L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1) \oplus L(\mathcal{M}_2, \omega_2)$ to $L(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. To construct such morphism, h_Ω is going to be extremely relevant. The idea is to use h_Ω as the very first component of the L_∞-algebra morphism H. This suggested by the fact that h_Ω does not preserve the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ by an exact form, something that is characteristic of the corresponding component in a L_∞-morphism. Assuming therefore that $H_1 = h_\Omega$, we expect to obtain the form af all the other components of H by imposing the defining and consistency conditions that H has to obey, namely (4.25) and (4.27). However, this is an extremely involved procedure, so we have been able to check it only in the simplest case, where $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ are both symplectic spaces. In any case, let us stress that we expect the procedure to hold in full generality.

4.3.1 $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ Symplectic manifolds

Since $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ is a 1-plectic manifold, we have that $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is a 3-plectic manifold. Consequently, the cochain complex L of the Lie 3-algebra $L(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ is given by

$$L : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega^1(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega^2(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega^3_{\text{Ham}}(\mathcal{M}) \ , \tag{4.76}$$

where the coboundary operator is the usual de Rham exterior derivative. On the other hand, the cochain complex L_a which underlies the 1-Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$ is simply

$$L_a : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_a) \ . \tag{4.77}$$

In this simpler situation, $L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1) \oplus L(\mathcal{M}_2, \omega_2)$ is just a regular Lie-algebra, so we can apply propostion 3.2.6 in order to obtain the remaining components of the L_∞-morphism H from the Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{M}_1, \omega_1) \oplus L(\mathcal{M}_2, \omega_2)$ to the 3-Lie algebra $L(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. H consists of three maps

$$H_k : [C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_1) \times C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_2)]^{\otimes k} \to L \, , \qquad k = 1, 2, 3 \, . \tag{4.78}$$

Please notice that we are imposing $H_1 = h_\Omega$. The two remaining components will be find by imposing the defining conditions of a L_∞ morphism on H, which in proposition 3.2.6 have been adapted and simplified to the case of a Lie algebra as the domain of the morphism. As expected, the procedure is consistent and H_2 and H_3 can be determined, making H into an honest L_∞-morphism. They are given by[8]

[8] In equation (4.80) we have omitted the pr_a^* in order to make more readable the expression.

$$\mathrm{H}_2(f_1,f_2,g_1,g_2)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{pr}_1^*f_1\wedge\mathrm{pr}_2^*dg_2-\mathrm{pr}_1^*df_1\wedge\mathrm{pr}_2^*g_2-\mathrm{pr}_1^*g_1\wedge\mathrm{pr}_2^*df_2+\mathrm{pr}_1^*dg_1\wedge\mathrm{pr}_2^*f_2\right)\,, \tag{4.79}$$

$$\mathrm{H}_3(f_1,f_2,g_1,g_2,h_1,h_2)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f_1\{g_2,h_2\}+f_2\{g_1,h_1\}-g_1\{f_2,h_2\}-g_2\{f_1,h_1\}+h_1\{f_2,g_2\}+h_2\{f_1,g_1\}\right)\,, \tag{4.80}$$

for all $f_a,g_a,h_a\in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}_a)$, $a=1,2$. As explained in section 4.1.1, in order for H to be an homotopy moment map we have to check that

$$-\iota_{v_x}\omega=d(f_1(x))\,, \tag{4.81}$$

which holds by equation (4.63). Therefore, we have constructed explicitly a Lie-n algebra morphism from $L(\mathcal{M}_1,\omega_1)\times L(\mathcal{M}_2,\omega_2)$ to $L(\mathcal{M},\omega)$, and we expect the same procedure to hold in the general case. However, it turns out to be too involved to be carried out explicitly and a different approach is needed.

4.3.2 Product homotopy moment maps

Since finding H explicitly seems to be a too complicated task to be performed by brute force, in this section we are going to pursue a different path. We are going to build an L_∞-morphism from[9] $\mathfrak{g}_a\oplus\mathfrak{g}_b$ to $L(\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_a\times\mathcal{M}_b,\omega=\mathrm{pr}_a^*\omega_a\wedge\mathrm{pr}_b^*\omega_b)$, assuming that there exist homotopy moment maps f_C for $G_C\circlearrowleft(\mathcal{M}_C,\omega_C)$, $C=a,b$. Here $\mathfrak{g}_C$ is the Lie algebras of the Lie group G_C. F will give us an homotopy moment map for the product manifold in terms of homotopy moment maps of the factors. Besides, F may give us also the opportunity to make an educated guess for $\mathrm{H}:L(\mathcal{M}_a,\omega_a)\oplus L(\mathcal{M}_b,\omega_b)\to L(\mathcal{M},\omega)$[10]. The precise result is the following

Theorem 4.3.2. *Let G_C be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_C$, where $C=a,b$. Let $(\mathcal{M}_C,\omega_C)$ be a n_C-plectic manifold equipped with a G_C action which preserves ω_C and such that the infinitesimal $\mathfrak{g}_C$ action is via Hamiltonian fields. Let us assume that the corresponding homotopy moment maps exist and are given by $f^C:\mathfrak{g}_C\to L(\mathcal{M}_C,\omega_C)$. Then the following set of maps*

$$\begin{aligned}
F_k:(\mathfrak{g}_a\oplus\mathfrak{g}_b)^{\otimes k} &\to L(\mathcal{M},\omega)\,, \qquad k=1,\dots,n_1+n_2+1\\
\left(x_a^1\oplus x_b^1,\dots,x_a^k\oplus x_b^k\right) &\mapsto \sum_{l=0}^{k}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sh}(l,k-l)}(-1)^\sigma c^a_{l,k-l}\mathrm{pr}_a^*f_l^a\left(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l\right)\wedge i_{l+1,\dots,k}\mathrm{pr}_b^*\omega_b\\
&+\sum_{l=0}^{k}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sh}(l,k-l)}(-1)^\sigma c^b_{l,k-l}i_{1,\dots,l}\mathrm{pr}_a^*\omega_a\wedge\mathrm{pr}_b^*f^b_{(k-l)}\left(x_b^{l+1},\dots,x_b^k\right)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.82}$$

of degree $|F_k|=1-k$ form a L_∞-morphism F from $\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\mathfrak{g}_2$ to $(\mathcal{M}_1\times\mathcal{M}_2,\omega)$. We assume by definition that $f_0^a=f_0^b=0$. In addition, F, is homotopy moment map for the action of $G_1\times G_2$ on $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$. Here we have defined[11]

$$i_{1,\dots,l}\,\mathrm{pr}_C^*\omega_C=\iota\left(\mathrm{pr}_C^*v_{f_1^C(x_C^1)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathrm{pr}_C^*v_{f_1^C(x_C^l)}\right)\mathrm{pr}_C^*\omega_C\,, \tag{4.83}$$

$$c^a_{l,k-l} = \frac{1}{2}\xi(k)\xi(l)(-1)^{(n_a+1-l)(k-l)}\,,\ l=1,\dots,k\,,\quad k>1 \tag{4.84}$$

$$c^b_{l,k-l} = \frac{1}{2}\xi(k)\xi(k-l)(-1)^{(n_a+1-l)(k-l-1)}\,,\ l=0,\dots,k-1\,,\quad k>1\,, \tag{4.85}$$

and

$$c^a_{1,0}=1\,,\qquad c^b_{0,1}=1\,. \tag{4.86}$$

[9] In this section we are going to use a different notation, more suitable for the expressions that we will find. a and b are not indices but labels that denote different objects.

[10] See reference [59].

[11] Please remember that $\xi(k)=-(-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$.

Proof. We are going to proceed by proving that the $F_k : (\mathfrak{g}_a \oplus \mathfrak{g}_b)^{\otimes k} \to L(\mathcal{M},\omega)$, $k = 1,\dots,n_1+n_2+1$, assuming (4.84) and (4.86), are indeed the components of a L_∞-morphism by checking that it obeys the conditions (4.25) and (4.27). Since F_k is multilinear, it is enough to check the conditions on elements of the form $(x_a^1,\cdots,x_a^l,x_b^{l+1},\cdots,x_b^k) \in (\mathfrak{g}_a \oplus \mathfrak{g}_b)^{\otimes k}$. For $k=1$ we only need to check equation (4.24), which is the condition of F being an homotopy moment map. We can easily see that it is indeed satisfied. We will consider therefore $k>1$. We can write

$$F_k\left(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l,x_b^{l+1},\dots,x_b^k\right) = c^a_{l,k-l} f_l^a\left(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l\right)\iota_{l+1,\dots,k}\omega_b + c^b_{l,k-l}\iota_{1,\dots,l}\omega_a f^b_{(k-l)}\left(x_b^{l+1},\dots,x_b^k\right), \tag{4.87}$$

where we have suppressed the pr^a and the $\wedge$ to ease the presentation. We first check condition (4.25). The left hand side is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\le i<j\le k}(-1)^{i+j+1}F_{k-1}\left(\left[x^i,x^j\right],x^1,\dots,\hat{x}^i,\dots,\hat{x}^j,\dots,x^k\right) = \\
&\sum_{1\le i<j\le l}(-1)^{i+j+1}F_{k-1}\left(\left[x_a^i,x_a^j\right],x_a^1,\dots,\hat{x}_a^i,\dots,\hat{x}_a^j,\dots,x_a^l,x_b^{l+1},\dots,x^k\right) \\
+\sum_{l+1\le i<j\le k}(-1)^{i+j+1}F_{k-1}&\left(\left[x_b^i,x_b^j\right],x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l,x_b^{l+1},\dots,\hat{x}_b^i,\dots,\hat{x}_b^j,\dots,x^k\right) = (A)+(B)+(C)+(D),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.88}$$

where

$$(A) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le l}(-1)^{i+j+1}c^a_{l-1,k-l}f^a_{l-1}\left(\left[x_a^i,x_a^j\right],x_a^1,\dots,\hat{x}_a^i,\dots,\hat{x}_a^j,\dots,x_a^l\right)\iota_{l+1,\dots,k}\omega_b, \tag{4.89}$$

$$(B) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le l}(-1)^{i+j+1}c^b_{l-1,k-l}\iota\left([i,j],1,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,\hat{j},\dots,k\right)\omega_a f^b_{k-l}\left(x_b^{l+1},\dots,x_b^k\right), \tag{4.90}$$

$$(C) = \sum_{l+1\le i<j\le k}(-1)^{l+i+j+1}c^a_{l,k-l-1}f_l^a\left(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l\right)\iota\left([i,j],l+1,\dots,\hat{i},\dots,\hat{j},\dots,k\right)\omega_b, \tag{4.91}$$

$$(D) = \sum_{l+1\le i<j\le k}(-1)^{l+i+j+1}c^b_{l,k-l-1}\iota(1,\dots,l)\,\omega_a f^b_{k-l-1}\left(\left[x_b^i,x_b^j\right],x_b^{l+1},\dots,\hat{x}_b^i,\dots,\hat{x}_b^j,\dots,x_b^k\right). \tag{4.92}$$

The right hand side of (4.25) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\xi(k)\iota\left(v_{x_a^1}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{x_a^l}\wedge v_{x_b^{l+1}}\wedge\cdots\wedge v_{x_b^k}\right)\omega = \xi(k)(-1)^{(n_a+1-l)(k-l)}\iota_{1,\dots,l}\omega_a\iota_{l+1,\dots,k}\omega_b \\
=\;&\frac{1}{2}\xi(k)(-1)^{(n_a+1-l)(k-l)}\left(\xi(l)l^a(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l)\iota_{l+1,\dots,k}\omega_b + \xi(k-l)\iota_{1,\dots,l}\omega_a l^b(x_b^{l+1},\dots,x_b^k)\right) \\
=\;&\frac{1}{2}(E_1+E_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.93}$$

where

$$E_1 = \xi(k)(-1)^{(n_a+1-l)(k-l)}\xi(l)l^a(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l)\iota_{l+1,\dots,k}\omega_b, \quad E_2 = \xi(k)(-1)^{(n_a+1-l)(k-l)}\xi(k-l)\iota_{1,\dots,l}\omega_a l^b(x_b^{l+1},\dots,x_b^k),$$

together with

$$dF_k\left(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l,x_b^{l+1},\dots,x_b^k\right) = (A')+(B')+(C')+(D'), \tag{4.94}$$

where

$$(A') = c^a_{l,k-l}df_l^a\left(x_a^1,\dots,x_a^l\right)\iota_{l+1,\dots,k}\omega_b, \tag{4.95}$$

$$(B') = (-1)^{n_a - l} c^a_{l,k-l} f^a_l \left(x^1_a, \dots, x^l_a\right) d\iota_{l+1,\dots,k}\omega_b \,, \tag{4.96}$$

$$(C') = c^b_{l,k-l} d\iota_{1,\dots,l}\omega_a f^b_{(k-l)} \left(x^{l+1}_b, \dots, x^k_b\right) \,, \tag{4.97}$$

$$(D') = (-1)^{n_a+1-l} c^b_{l,k-l} \iota_{1,\dots,l}\omega_a df^b_{(k-l)} \left(x^{l+1}_b, \dots, x^k_b\right) \,. \tag{4.98}$$

We can see now that

$$(A) = (A') + \frac{1}{2}(E_1) \,, \qquad (D) = (D') + \frac{1}{2}(E_2) \,, \tag{4.99}$$

are precisely the condition that f^a and f^b obey respectively. In addition, we have

$$(B) = (C') \,, \qquad (C) = (B') \,, \tag{4.100}$$

and therefore (4.25) is satisfied. Notice that we have used the following identity

$$d\iota\left(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k\right)\omega = (-1)^k \sum_{1\le i<j\le k} (-1)^{i+j} \iota\left([v_i, v_j] \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i \wedge \dots \wedge v_j \wedge \dots \wedge v_k\right)\omega \,, \tag{4.101}$$

that holds for $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathtt{Ham}}(\mathcal{M})$ Hamiltonian vector fields of a multisymplectic manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. We finally check (4.27). Let us rewrite the condition here

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{1\le i<j\le n_a+n_b+2} (-1)^{i+j+1} F_{n_a+n_b+1}\left(\left[x^i, x^j\right], x^1, \dots, \hat{x}^i, \dots, \hat{x}^j, \dots, x^k\right) = \\
&\sum_{1\le i<j\le l} (-1)^{i+j+1} F_{n_a+n_b+1}\left(\left[x^i_a, x^j_a\right], x^1_a, \dots, \hat{x}^i_a, \dots, \hat{x}^j_a, \dots, x^l_a, x^{l+1}_b, \dots, x^{n_a+n_b+2}_b\right) \\
&\sum_{l+1\le i<j\le n_a+n_b+2} (-1)^{i+j+1} F_{n_a+n_b+1}\left(\left[x^i_b, x^j_b\right], x^1_a, \dots, x^l_a, x^{l+1}_b, \dots, \hat{x}^i_b, \dots, \hat{x}^j_b \dots, x^{n_a+n_b+2}_b\right) \\
= \;& l_{n_a+n_b+2}\left(F_1(x^1), \dots, F_1(x^{n_a+n_b+2})\right) \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.102}$$

Please notice now that equation (4.102) vanishes identically except when $l = n_a + 1$. Setting therefore $l = n_a + 1$ equation (4.102) is satisfied by using (4.27) for f^C.

□

We have proven that given that if the action $G_C \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{M}_C, \omega_C)$ is Hamiltonian (that is, there exists an homotopy moment map for the action), then one can construct an homotopy moment map for the action of $G_a \times G_b$ on $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. In other words, we have proven the following corollary

Corollary 4.3.3. *Given two multisymplectic manifolds $(\mathcal{M}_C, \omega_C)$, $C = a, b$, equipped with the Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G_C, then the action of $G_a \times G_b$ on the product manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Hamiltonian, with homotopy moment map given by equation (4.82).*

Proof. Since the action is Hamiltonian if there exist an Homotopy moment map, from theorem 4.3.2 the result is obvious.

4.3.3 Applications

In section 4.3.2 we have shown how to build an homotopy moment map for the product manifold of two multisymplectic manifolds, assuming that an homotopy moment map for the individual manifolds exist. Here we are going to apply such construction to some specific examples of geometrical interest. Let us consider, as usual, two multisymplectic manifolds $(\mathcal{M}_C, \omega_C)$, $C = a, b$. We assume that there is a Hamiltonian action of a Lie group $G_C \circlearrowleft \mathcal{M}_C$ with corresponding homotopy moment map $f^C : \mathfrak{g}_C \to L(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$. By corollary 4.3.3 we know that there is also a Hamiltonian action

$$G_a \times G_b \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b) \ , \tag{4.103}$$

of $G_a \times G_b$ on $(\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b)$ with homotopy moment map given by (4.82).

- $G_a = G_b = G$ acting on $(\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b)$.
  In this case, the Hamiltonian action on the product manifold can be written as follows

  $$G \times G \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b) \ , \tag{4.104}$$

  and therefore one can restrict it to the diagonal $\Delta G = \{(g, g) \ : \ g \in G\}$ of $G \times G$

  $$\Delta G \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b) \, . \tag{4.105}$$

  Notice that the homotopy moment map for the product action (4.103) is a L_∞-algebra morphism

  $$F : \mathfrak{g}_a \oplus \mathfrak{g}_b \to L\left(\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b\right) \ . \tag{4.106}$$

  Since there exist a morphism of Lie algebras from $\Delta\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$

  $$\begin{array}{rcl} m : \Delta\mathfrak{g} & \to & \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \\ (x, x) & \mapsto & x \oplus x \, , \end{array} \tag{4.107}$$

  we can use it to construct a L_∞-algebra morphism

  $$F \circ m : \mathfrak{g} \simeq \Delta\mathfrak{g} \to L\left(\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b\right) \ , \tag{4.108}$$

  which is an homotopy moment map for the action of $\Delta G \simeq G$ on the product manifold $(\mathcal{M}_a \times \mathcal{M}_b, \mathrm{pr}_a^* \omega_a \wedge \mathrm{pr}_b^* \omega_b)$.
- $G_a = G_b = G \, , \quad \mathcal{M}_a = \mathcal{M}_b = \mathcal{M} \, , \quad \omega_a = \omega_b = \omega$.
  We begin by proving the following lemma, which will be used in a moment

  Lemma 4.3.4. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ be an n-plectic manifold equipped with the Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G and the corresponding homotopy moment map $f : \mathfrak{g} \to L\left(\mathcal{M}, \omega\right)$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G. Let $\mathcal{N} \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{M}$ a G-invariant submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$. Then, assuming that $i^*\omega$ is a non-degenerate $(n+1)$-form on $\mathcal{N}$, the action $G \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{N}, i^*\omega)$ is Hamiltonian with homotopy moment map $i^* f : g \to L\left(\mathcal{N}, i^*\omega\right)$.*

  Proof. We need to prove that $f^{\mathcal{N}} = i^* f$ is indeed an homotopy moment map for the action of G on $(\mathcal{N}, i^*\omega)$. That is, we have to prove that the following diagram commutes

  

  such that

  $$- \, i_{v_x^{\mathcal{N}}} i^*\omega = d f_1^{\mathcal{N}}(x) \, , \qquad \forall \ x \in \mathfrak{g} \, , \tag{4.109}$$

  where

$$f^{\mathcal{N}} = i^* f : \mathfrak{g} \to L\left(\mathcal{N}, i^*\omega\right) . \tag{4.110}$$

Let us introduce the following L_∞-subalgebra of $L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$

$$L^{\mathcal{N}}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right) = C^\infty\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \to \Omega^1\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \to \cdots \to \tilde{\Omega}^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) , \tag{4.111}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) = \left\{\alpha \in \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) \ / \ v_\alpha|_{\mathcal{N}} \in T\mathcal{N}\right\} . \tag{4.112}$$

Since $L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$ and $L^{\mathcal{N}}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$ are equal in every component but the zero one, in order to see that $L^{\mathcal{N}}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$ is actually a L_∞-subalgebra of $L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$, we only have to check that the binary bracket l_2 of $L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$ restricts to $\tilde{\Omega}^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right)$. This is indeed the case since given any two Hamiltonian forms α and β we have

$$\left[v_\alpha, v_\beta\right] = v_{\{\alpha,\beta\}} , \tag{4.113}$$

and of course the Lie bracket of two vector fields tangent to $\mathcal{N}$ is again tangent to $\mathcal{N}$. Please notice now that

$$f_k(x) \in L^{\mathcal{N}}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right) , \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k} \qquad k \geq 1 . \tag{4.114}$$

Actually, equation (4.114) trivially holds for every $k > 1$ since $L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$ and $L^{\mathcal{N}}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right)$ are equal in every component but the zero one. We have to check then only the $k = 1$ case, that is, we have to prove that

$$f_1(x) \in \tilde{\Omega}^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{M}\right) , \qquad \forall\, x \in \mathfrak{g} . \tag{4.115}$$

By assumption, the action of G on $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ is through Hamiltonian vector fields. That is, the infinitesimal action of G on $(\mathcal{M},\omega)$ is generated by Hamiltonian vector fields respect to ω. This fact is encoded in the map v_- of diagram 4.1.8 which assigns to every infinitesimal element x of G, which belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$, the Hamiltonian vector field v_x that generates the corresponding action. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a G-invariant submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ we have that

$$v_x|_{\mathcal{N}} \in T\mathcal{N} , \tag{4.116}$$

since otherwise there would exist at least one point $q \in \mathcal{N}$ such that its orbit under the action of G is not contained in $\mathcal{N}$. Finally, since $v_x = v_{f_1(x)}$[12] thanks to equation (4.24), we obtain equation (4.115). Thus we conclude that

$$f\colon \mathfrak{g} \to L^{\mathcal{N}}\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right) \subseteq L\left(\mathcal{M},\omega\right) . \tag{4.117}$$

We are ready now to first prove that $f^{\mathcal{N}} = i^* f$ is an honest L_∞-morphism from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $L\left(\mathcal{N}, i^*\omega\right)$. This is trivially true for all the components of $f^{\mathcal{N}}$ except for $f_1^{\mathcal{N}}$. Therefore, what we have to check is that $i^* f_1(x) \in \Omega^{n-1}_{\mathrm{Ham}}\left(\mathcal{N}, i^*\omega\right)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. That is indeed the case since, given $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$-\iota_{v_{f_1(x)}}\omega = df_1(x) \ \Rightarrow -i^*\left(\iota_{v_{f_1(x)}}\omega\right) = i^* df_1(x) \ \Rightarrow -\iota_{v_{f_1(x)}}\left(i^*\omega\right) = d\left(i^* f_1(x)\right) , \tag{4.118}$$

since $i_* v_{f_1(x)} = v_{f_1(x)}$ thanks to equation (4.117). Therefore, $i^* f_1(x)$ is Hamiltonian respect to $i^*\omega$ with Hamiltonian vector field given by the restriction of $v_{f_1(x)}$ to $\mathcal{N}$. Is easy to see know that $f^{\mathcal{N}}$ satisfies equations (4.109), (4.25) and (4.27) and therefore it is indeed an homotopy moment map for the action of G on $(\mathcal{N}, i^*\omega)$. □

The product action can be written in this case as

$$G \times G \circlearrowleft \left(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \omega \wedge \omega\right) \tag{4.119}$$

In particular, we can restrict this action to the diagonal ΔG of $G \times G$ acting on the product manifold

[12] We are slightly abusing the notation by calling by the same letter the Hamiltonian vector field that corresponds to a given Hamiltonian form and the Hamiltonian vector field that corresponds to a given element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

$$G \simeq \Delta G \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, \omega \wedge \omega) \ . \tag{4.120}$$

The diagonal $\Delta\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ is invariant under the action of ΔG. Therefore, using the obvious inclusion

$$i : \Delta\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \, , \tag{4.121}$$

we can define now an action of G on $\mathcal{M}$ as follows

$$G \simeq \Delta G \circlearrowleft (\Delta\mathcal{M}, i^* (\omega \wedge \omega)) \simeq (\mathcal{M}, \omega \wedge \omega) \ , \tag{4.122}$$

which, by means of lemma 4.3.4, is Hamiltonian with homotopy moment map given by

$$i^* F : \mathfrak{g} \to L (\mathcal{M}, \omega \wedge \omega) \ , \tag{4.123}$$

where F is as in theorem 4.3.2. Therefore, we have proven that if an action $G \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{M}, \omega)$ is Hamiltonian, then the action $G \circlearrowleft (\mathcal{M}, \omega^n) \, , \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$ is also Hamiltonian[13].

[13] This is done by sightly extending the proof above, allowing ω_a and ω_b to be different and so that the restriction of their wedge product to $\Delta\mathcal{M}$ is non-degenerate.

Bibliography

[1] L. I. F. Cantrijn and M. de León, "Hamiltonian structures on multisymplectic manifolds," *Geom. Struc. for Phys. Theories, I* **54** (1996) .

[2] A. Echeverría-Enríquez, A. Ibort, M. C. Muñoz-Lecanda, and N. Román-Roy, "Invariant Forms and Automorphisms of Locally Homogeneous Multisymplectic Manifolds," *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (May, 1998) , arXiv:math/9805040.

[3] F. Cantrijn, A. Ibort, and M. De León, "On the geometry of multisymplectic manifolds," *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society (Series A)* **66** (5, 1999) 303–330. http://journals.cambridge.org/article_S1446788700036636.

[4] A. Ibort, "Multisymplectic geometry: generic and exceptional," *PROCEEDINGS OF THE IX FALL WORKSHOP ON GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS* (2000) .

[5] A. C. da Silva, *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*. Springer, 2008.

[6] E. Meinrenken, "Symplectic geometry,". http://www.math.toronto.edu/mein/teaching/sympl.pdf.

[7] J. C. Baez and C. L. Rogers, "Categorified Symplectic Geometry and the String Lie 2-Algebra," *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2009) , arXiv:0901.4721 [math-ph].

[8] C. L. Rogers, "Courant algebroids from categorified symplectic geometry," *ArXiv e-prints* (Dec., 2010) , arXiv:1001.0040 [math-ph].

[9] C. L. Rogers, "2-plectic geometry, Courant algebroids, and categorified prequantization," *ArXiv e-prints* (Sept., 2010) , arXiv:1009.2975 [math-ph].

[10] C. L. Rogers, "Higher Symplectic Geometry," *ArXiv e-prints* (June, 2011) , arXiv:1106.4068 [math-ph].

[11] C. L. Rogers, "L-infinity algebras from multisymplectic geometry," *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **100** (Apr., 2012) 29–50, arXiv:1005.2230 [math.DG].

[12] T. Lada and M. Markl, "Strongly homotopy Lie algebras," arXiv:hep-th/9406095 [hep-th].

[13] Y. Fregier, C. L. Rogers, and M. Zambon, "Homotopy moment maps," *ArXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2013) , arXiv:1304.2051 [math.DG].

[14] J. Scherk and J. H. Schwarz, "Dual Models for Nonhadrons," *Nucl.Phys.* **B81** (1974) 118–144.

[15] T. Yoneya, "Connection of Dual Models to Electrodynamics and Gravidynamics," *Prog.Theor.Phys.* **51** (1974) 1907–1920.

[16] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, "Anomaly Cancellation in Supersymmetric D=10 Gauge Theory and Superstring Theory," *Phys.Lett.* **B149** (1984) 117–122.

[17] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec, and R. Rohm, "Heterotic String Theory. 1. The Free Heterotic String," *Nucl.Phys.* **B256** (1985) 253.

[18] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec, and R. Rohm, "Heterotic String Theory. 2. The Interacting Heterotic String," *Nucl.Phys.* **B267** (1986) 75.

[19] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, "Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy," *Phys.Lett.* **B379** (1996) 99–104, arXiv:hep-th/9601029 [hep-th].

[20] E. W. Michael B. Green, John H. Schwarz, *Superstring Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1988.

[21] B. R. Greene, "String theory on Calabi-Yau manifolds," arXiv:hep-th/9702155 [hep-th].

[22] T. Ortin, "Gravity and strings,".

[23] E. Kiritsis, "String theory in a nutshell,".

[24] L. E. Ibanez and A. M. Uranga, "String theory and particle physics: An introduction to string phenomenology,".

[25] T. Kaluza, "Zum unitätsproblem in der physik," *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin.* (1921) 966,972.

[26] O. Klein, "Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale relativitätstheorie," *Zeitschrift fÃijr Physik A 37* **12** (1926) 895,906.

[27] M. Duff, B. Nilsson, and C. Pope, "Kaluza-Klein Supergravity," *Phys.Rept.* **130** (1986) 1–142.

[28] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "A Large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** (1999) 3370–3373, arXiv:hep-ph/9905221 [hep-ph].

[29] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, "An Alternative to compactification," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** (1999) 4690–4693, arXiv:hep-th/9906064 [hep-th].

[30] M. Grana, "Flux compactifications in string theory: A Comprehensive review," *Phys.Rept.* **423** (2006) 91–158, arXiv:hep-th/0509003 [hep-th].

[31] E. Witten, "String theory dynamics in various dimensions," *Nucl.Phys.* **B443** (1995) 85–126, arXiv:hep-th/9503124 [hep-th].

[32] B. S. Acharya, F. Denef, C. Hofman, and N. Lambert, "Freund-Rubin revisited," arXiv:hep-th/0308046 [hep-th].

[33] K. Behrndt and C. Jeschek, "Fluxes in M theory on seven manifolds and G structures," *JHEP* **0304** (2003) 002, arXiv:hep-th/0302047 [hep-th].

[34] T. House and A. Micu, "M-Theory compactifications on manifolds with G(2) structure," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **22** (2005) 1709–1738, arXiv:hep-th/0412006 [hep-th].

[35] D. D. Joyce, *Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy*. Oxford University Press, 2000.

[36] T. Lada and J. Stasheff, "Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists," *Int.J.Theor.Phys.* **32** (1993) 1087–1104, arXiv:hep-th/9209099 [hep-th].

[37] R. D'Auria and P. Fre, "Geometric Supergravity in d = 11 and Its Hidden Supergroup," *Nucl.Phys.* **B201** (1982) 101–140.

[38] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria, and P. Fre, "Supergravity and superstrings: A Geometric perspective. Vol. 1: Mathematical foundations,".

[39] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria, and P. Fre, "Supergravity and superstrings: A Geometric perspective. Vol. 2: Supergravity,".

[40] L. Castellani, R. D'Auria, and P. Fre, "Supergravity and superstrings: A Geometric perspective. Vol. 3: Superstrings,".

[41] D. P. Sorokin and P. K. Townsend, "M Theory superalgebra from the M five-brane," *Phys.Lett.* **B412** (1997) 265–273, arXiv:hep-th/9708003 [hep-th].

[42] H. Sati, U. Schreiber, and J. Stasheff, "L_∞ algebra connections and applications to String- and Chern-Simons n-transport," *Quantum Field Theory* (2009) 303–424, arXiv:0801.3480 [math.DG].

[43] J. C. Baez and J. Huerta, "Division Algebras and Supersymmetry I," *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **81** (2010) 65–80, arXiv:0909.0551 [hep-th].

[44] J. C. Baez and J. Huerta, "Division Algebras and Supersymmetry II," *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **15** (2011) 1373–1410, arXiv:1003.3436 [hep-th].

[45] P. Ritter and C. Saemann, "Lie 2-algebra models," arXiv:1308.4892 [hep-th].

[46] J. M. Lee, *Manifolds and Differential Geometry*. American Mathematical Society, 2009.

[47] D. Husemoller, *Fibre bundles*. Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[48] F. W. Warner, *Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups*. Springer, 1983.

[49] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*. Wiley-Interscience, 1996.

[50] J. E. M. Ralph Abraham and T. Ratiu, *Manifolds, Tensor Analysis, and Applications*. Springer; 2nd edition, 1988.

[51] D. Quillen, "Rational homotopy theory," *Annals of Mathematics* **90** (1969) 205–295.

[52] Y. Fregier and M. Zambon, "Simultaneous deformations of algebras and morphisms via derived brackets," *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan., 2013) , arXiv:1301.4864 [math.QA].

[53] J.-C. T. Yves Felix, Steve Halperin, *Rational Homotopy Theory*. Springer, 2001.

[54] V. Hinich, "DG coalgebras as formal stacks," *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints* (Dec., 1998) , math/9812034.

[55] F. Schätz, *Coisotropic Submanifolds and the BFV-Complex*. PhD thesis, Universität Zürich, 2009.

[56] M. Zambon, "L-infinity algebras and higher analogues of Dirac structures and Courant algebroids," *Journal of Symplectic Geometry* **10 mo. 4** (Mar., 2012) 563–599, arXiv:1003.1004 [math.SG].

[57] B. Kostant, "Quantization and unitary representations," *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **170** (1970) 87–208.

[58] J.-M. Souriau, "Quantification géométrique. applications.," *Annales de l' I.H. P., section A* **6** (1967) 311–341.

[59] C. Shahbazi and M. Zambon *Work in progress.* .